

The average farm retained by the landlord was 330.9 acres. The land and buildings of this farm were valued on the average at \$6,564, with nearly 18 per cent, \$1,179, representing buildings. With more than four-fifths of its value in land and almost three-fourths of its acreage still unimproved, the average value of land and buildings per acre for the landlord farm was only \$19.84.

Besides the farms of landlords, on these tenant plantations, there were also 398,905 farms operated by tenants. These tenant farms covered 15,367,398 acres of farm land—54.3 per cent of the total plantation acreage—of which 12,452,323 acres, or 81 per cent, were improved in striking contrast with the corresponding percentage, 26.2, for the farms retained by landlords. The improved land in tenant farms represented 78.6 per cent of the total improved acreage of the plantations. The tenant farms were valued at \$420,309,000, or 62.1 per cent of the total value of the plantations. The average tenant farm contained only 38.5 acres and of this acreage 31.2 were improved. Its value in land and buildings was little more than \$1,000, of which only \$179 represented buildings, yet its average value per acre was \$27.35. The most fertile land on the plantations is usually leased to tenants.

There were thus on the tenant plantations of the selected counties more than ten times as many tenant farms as farms of landlords; yet the total acreage of the tenant farms was not very much greater than that of the landlord farms, the average size of tenant farms being much less than that of the landlord farms. The tenant farms, however, were nearly all under cultivation, while only a little over one-fourth of the land in the landlord farms was improved. This difference can be accounted for by the fact that the tenant usually leases only such land as he can put into active use. This is the "law of the land" on the larger and more closely supervised plantations.

While the average farm of the landlord contained more than eight times as many acres of land as that of the tenant and had an average value of land and buildings per farm six times as great, the average value of land and buildings per acre was highest in tenant farms. The higher value of tenant farms per acre was doubtless due principally to their larger proportion of improved land.

PLANTATIONS, CLASSIFIED ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF TENANTS.

Table 10 shows statistics as to plantations, grouped according to the number of tenants.

The number of individual tenant farms into which each plantation is divided is usually regulated by the acreage of improved land in the plantation. The table presents combined statistics for all tenant plantations and also separate statistics for those with

and those without complete reports. Tenant plantations with complete reports are practically all true plantations with a high degree of supervision on the part of the landlord or his representative, but, as already explained, a few, at least, of the tenant plantations without complete reports may not be real units at all. Moreover, a good many of these plantations are doubtless more or less loosely organized, some of them being old plantations with practically all their improved land subdivided into tenant holdings. Some of these plantations without complete reports are presumably now in the transition stage, passing from the class of true plantations to that of independent farms or possibly in some cases the reverse.

Table 10

	TENANT PLANTATIONS OF—				
	All classes.	5 to 9 tenants.	10 to 19 tenants.	20 to 49 tenants.	50 tenants and over.
ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS.					
Number:					
Plantations.....	39,073	26,562	9,160	2,939	412
Landlord farms.....	39,073	26,562	9,160	2,939	412
Tenant farms.....	398,905	168,089	118,862	82,404	29,550
Acreage of all land:					
Plantations.....	28,296,815	13,147,956	8,731,179	4,961,152	1,456,528
Landlord farms.....	12,929,417	6,038,777	4,015,807	2,308,518	566,315
Tenant farms.....	15,367,398	7,109,179	4,715,372	2,652,634	890,213
P.c. in tenant farms.	54.3	54.1	54.0	53.5	61.1
Acreage of improved land:					
Plantations.....	15,836,363	7,273,782	4,838,608	2,805,315	858,658
Landlord farms.....	3,884,040	1,732,611	978,337	552,212	120,880
Tenant farms.....	12,452,323	5,541,171	3,860,271	2,313,103	737,778
P.c. in tenant farms.	78.6	76.2	79.8	80.7	85.9
Value of land and buildings:					
Plantations.....dols.	676,802,983	303,295,830	205,617,622	125,452,839	42,436,692
Landlord farms.....dols.	256,494,386	120,546,973	76,709,209	46,549,652	12,688,552
Tenant farms.....dols.	420,308,597	182,748,857	128,908,413	78,903,187	29,748,140
P.c. in tenant farms.	62.1	60.3	62.7	62.9	70.1
TENANT PLANTATIONS WITH COMPLETE REPORTS.					
Number:					
Plantations.....	22,157	14,861	5,336	1,734	226
Landlord farms.....	22,157	14,861	5,336	1,734	226
Tenant farms.....	228,123	94,304	69,390	48,456	15,973
Acreage of all land:					
Plantations.....	19,219,098	8,568,855	6,150,486	3,546,782	952,975
Landlord farms.....	11,117,225	4,984,336	3,592,689	2,076,135	464,065
Tenant farms.....	8,101,873	3,584,519	2,557,797	1,470,647	488,910
P.c. in tenant farms.	42.2	41.8	41.6	41.5	51.3
Acreage of improved land:					
Plantations.....	9,569,705	4,293,487	3,016,101	1,786,172	473,855
Landlord farms.....	2,726,469	1,333,361	825,841	468,240	90,027
Tenant farms.....	6,843,236	2,960,126	2,190,260	1,317,932	374,828
P.c. in tenant farms.	71.5	68.9	72.6	73.8	79.1
Value of land and buildings:					
Plantations.....dols.	440,456,195	189,138,833	138,085,521	87,542,053	25,689,288
Landlord farms.....dols.	214,751,896	96,172,965	67,266,983	41,010,226	10,301,692
Tenant farms.....dols.	225,704,299	92,965,868	70,818,538	46,531,827	15,388,096
P.c. in tenant farms.	51.2	49.2	51.2	53.2	59.9
TENANT PLANTATIONS WITHOUT COMPLETE REPORTS.					
Number:					
Plantations.....	16,916	11,701	3,824	1,205	186
Landlord farms.....	16,916	11,701	3,824	1,205	186
Tenant farms.....	170,782	73,785	49,472	33,948	13,577
Acreage of all land:					
Plantations.....	9,077,717	4,579,101	2,580,693	1,414,370	503,553
Landlord farms.....	1,812,192	1,054,441	423,118	232,383	102,250
Tenant farms.....	7,265,525	3,524,660	2,157,575	1,181,987	401,303
P.c. in tenant farms.	80.0	77.0	83.6	83.0	79.7
Acreage of improved land:					
Plantations.....	6,266,658	2,980,295	1,822,417	1,079,143	384,803
Landlord farms.....	657,571	399,250	152,490	83,972	21,853
Tenant farms.....	5,609,087	2,581,045	1,669,921	995,171	362,950
P.c. in tenant farms.	89.5	86.6	81.6	92.2	94.3
Value of land and buildings:					
Plantations.....dols.	236,346,788	114,156,997	67,532,101	37,910,786	16,746,904
Landlord farms.....dols.	41,742,490	24,373,978	9,442,226	5,589,426	2,386,880
Tenant farms.....dols.	194,604,298	89,783,019	58,089,875	32,371,360	14,360,044
P.c. in tenant farms.	82.3	78.6	86.0	85.4	85.7

Table 10 shows that there were 26,562 plantations (68 per cent of the total number) having from 5 to 9 tenants; 9,160 (23.4 per cent), from 10 to 19 tenants; 2,939 (7.5 per cent), from 20 to 49 tenants; and 412 (1.1 per cent), 50 tenants or more. There were some plantations which had more than a hundred tenants. In general, the large plantations with many tenant farms are better organized and more closely supervised than the smaller plantations. In some localities these larger plantations still have many of the characteristics of the older régime.

Plantations with complete reports.—For reasons already suggested, the statistics for tenant plantations with complete reports are in some respects more significant than those for all plantations. This class of plantations numbered 22,157 in the 325 counties. They contained 19,219,098 acres of land, of which 9,569,705 acres were improved. The farms retained by landlords contained 11,117,225 acres, or considerably more than half the total acreage, while the 228,123 tenant farms contained 8,101,873 acres. Of the land in the landlord farms, only 24.5 per cent was improved, much the larger part consisting of woodland and unimproved land, a good deal of which is capable of cultivation. The opportunity for future agricultural development on many of these plantations is large. On the other hand, more than five-sixths (84.5 per cent) of the land in the tenant farms on plantations with complete reports was under cultivation. In many cases, in fact, the tenant farms were entirely under cultivation except for the small area occupied by buildings and yards.

The land and buildings of the plantations with complete reports were valued at \$440,456,000, of which a little less than half, \$214,752,000, was represented by the farms retained by the landlords, and \$225,704,000 by the farms of tenants.

Of the plantations with complete reports, there were 14,861 (67.1 per cent of the total) having from 5 to 9 tenants, 5,336 (24.1 per cent) having from 10 to 19 tenants, 1,734 (7.8 per cent) having from 20 to 49 tenants, and 226 (1 per cent) having 50 tenants or more.

Plantations without complete reports.—The Census Bureau has compiled statistics for 16,916 plantations without complete reports in the selected counties. As already stated, some of these may not be true plantations. Certainly the conditions with respect to the group, taken as a whole, were somewhat different from those with respect to the plantations with complete reports.

The plantations without complete reports contained 9,077,717 acres of farm land, of which 6,266,658 acres, or 69 per cent, consisted of improved land, the percentage being much higher than in the case of plantations with complete reports. Of the total farm acreage in these plantations, only 1,812,192 acres, or one-fifth, was held by landlords, the propor-

tion contrasting markedly with that in the case of plantations with complete reports. The 170,782 farms of tenants contained 7,265,525 acres. The comparatively small acreage reported as held by landlords is one of the indications that many of the plantations in this class are in a transitory state or have ceased entirely to be agricultural units. It is possible that in some cases the landlords failed to report their entire holdings, some of the unimproved tracts perhaps not being looked upon as constituting farms at all.

Of the land retained by landlords in the case of tenant plantations without complete reports, the proportion improved, 36.3 per cent, was decidedly higher than that in the case of tenant plantations with complete reports, 24.5 per cent. There was no very great difference between the two classes of plantations with respect to the percentage of the land in tenant farms which was improved, although the percentage for the plantations with complete reports, 84.5, was somewhat higher than that for the other plantations, 77.2. The distribution of the plantations without complete reports with respect to size, as indicated by the number of tenants, was not materially different from that of the plantations with complete reports.

AVERAGES FOR PLANTATIONS AND PLANTATION FARMS.

Table 11 presents averages and percentages derived from the preceding table regarding plantations, landlord farms, and tenant farms.

The average plantation in the selected counties in 1910 contained 724.2 acres of land, of which 405.3 acres were improved. These averages are based on all plantations, including those without complete reports. The value of the land and buildings of the plantation was, on the average, \$17,322. The average plantation was more than five times as large as the average farm in the United States as a whole, and the average value of its land and buildings was three times as great as that for the average farm in the country as a whole. The average value of land and buildings per acre of land for the plantations was \$23.92. This average was considerably lower than that for the United States as a whole, \$39.60, a fact attributable to the generally lower values of land in the South than in the North. In the territory where the plantations are found, however, the average value of land and buildings per acre is higher in the case of plantations than in the case of other farms, since the plantations are usually located in the more fertile and productive sections of a community.

The average amount of land retained by the landlords of all the plantations was 330.9 acres, the value of which, including that of buildings, was \$6,564, the value of buildings representing 18 per cent of the total. In view of the fact that almost three-fourths of the acreage of the landlord farms was still unimproved, it

is not surprising that the average value of land and buildings per acre for the landlord farms was comparatively low, \$19.84.

The average tenant farm on these plantations contained only 38.5 acres of land, of which 31.2 acres were improved. The average value of the land and buildings of the tenant farm was \$1,054, of which only \$179

represented the value of buildings. The average value of land and buildings per acre, however, \$27.35, was decidedly higher than that for the land retained by the landlords, this being the natural result of the fact that the greater part of the land in tenant farms is improved and that the most fertile land on plantations is usually leased to tenants.

AVERAGE ACREAGE AND VALUE OF ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS, WITH AND WITHOUT COMPLETE REPORTS, IN 325 SELECTED COUNTIES OF ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES: 1910.

Table 11	TENANT PLANTATIONS OF—														
	All classes.			5 to 9 tenants.			10 to 19 tenants.			20 to 49 tenants.			50 tenants and over.		
	Plantations.	Landlord farms.	Tenant farms.	Plantations.	Landlord farms.	Tenant farms.	Plantations.	Landlord farms.	Tenant farms.	Plantations.	Landlord farms.	Tenant farms.	Plantations.	Landlord farms.	Tenant farms.
ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS.															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm.....	724.2	330.9	38.5	495.0	227.3	42.3	953.2	438.4	39.7	1,688.0	785.5	32.2	3,535.3	1,374.0	30.1
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	405.3	86.6	31.2	273.8	65.2	33.0	528.2	100.8	32.5	974.9	187.9	28.1	2,084.0	203.4	25.0
Per cent of total acreage improved..	56.0	26.2	81.0	55.3	28.7	78.0	55.4	24.4	81.9	57.8	23.9	87.3	59.0	21.3	82.9
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$17,322	\$3,564	\$1,054	\$11,418	\$4,538	\$1,087	\$22,447	\$8,374	\$1,085	\$42,680	\$15,839	\$958	\$103,002	\$30,797	\$1,007
Land.....	14,319	5,385	875	9,325	3,628	900	18,720	6,909	906	35,673	13,414	794	86,024	26,122	835
Buildings.....	3,003	1,179	179	2,093	910	187	3,727	1,465	179	7,013	2,425	164	16,978	4,675	172
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$23.92	\$19.84	\$27.35	\$23.07	\$19.06	\$25.71	\$23.55	\$19.10	\$27.34	\$25.29	\$20.16	\$20.75	\$20.14	\$22.41	\$33.42
Land.....	19.77	16.27	22.71	18.84	15.90	21.28	19.64	15.90	22.83	21.13	17.08	24.06	24.33	19.00	27.72
Buildings.....	4.15	3.57	4.64	4.23	4.00	4.43	3.91	3.20	4.51	4.10	3.08	6.09	4.81	3.41	5.70
TENANT PLANTATIONS WITH COMPLETE REPORTS.															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm.....	867.4	501.7	35.5	570.6	335.4	38.0	1,152.0	673.3	36.9	2,045.4	1,197.3	30.4	4,216.7	2,053.4	30.6
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	431.9	123.1	30.0	288.9	89.7	31.4	565.3	154.8	31.6	1,030.1	270.0	27.2	2,096.7	438.2	23.5
Per cent of total acreage improved..	49.8	24.5	84.5	50.1	26.8	82.6	49.0	23.0	85.6	50.4	22.0	89.6	49.7	21.3	76.7
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$19,879	\$9,692	\$989	\$12,727	\$6,471	\$986	\$25,878	\$12,006	\$1,021	\$50,480	\$23,651	\$960	\$113,672	\$45,583	\$963
Land.....	16,474	8,009	822	10,383	5,209	815	21,611	10,577	851	42,006	20,184	802	94,483	38,116	797
Buildings.....	3,405	1,683	167	2,344	1,262	171	4,267	2,029	170	7,880	3,467	158	19,189	7,467	166
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$22.92	\$19.32	\$27.86	\$22.07	\$19.30	\$25.94	\$22.45	\$18.72	\$27.09	\$24.68	\$19.75	\$31.04	\$26.06	\$22.20	\$31.47
Land.....	18.99	15.96	23.15	18.01	15.53	21.45	18.78	15.71	23.08	20.83	16.86	26.44	22.41	18.50	26.06
Buildings.....	3.93	3.36	4.71	4.06	3.77	4.49	3.67	3.01	4.01	3.85	2.89	6.20	4.65	3.64	5.41
TENANT PLANTATIONS WITHOUT COMPLETE REPORTS.															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm.....	536.6	107.1	42.5	391.3	90.1	47.8	674.9	110.6	43.6	1,173.8	192.8	34.8	2,707.3	549.7	29.6
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	370.5	38.9	32.8	254.7	34.1	35.0	476.0	39.9	33.8	895.6	69.7	20.3	2,068.8	117.5	23.7
Per cent of total acreage improved..	69.0	36.3	77.2	65.1	37.9	73.2	70.6	36.0	77.4	76.3	36.1	84.2	76.4	21.4	90.4
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$13,972	\$2,468	\$1,139	\$9,756	\$2,083	\$1,217	\$17,060	\$2,409	\$1,174	\$31,461	\$4,597	\$954	\$90,037	\$12,832	\$1,058
Land.....	11,495	1,947	946	7,982	1,621	1,009	14,643	1,934	982	25,690	3,672	782	75,745	11,549	880
Buildings.....	2,477	521	193	1,774	462	208	3,017	535	192	5,765	925	172	14,292	1,283	178
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$26.04	\$23.03	\$26.78	\$24.93	\$23.12	\$25.47	\$26.17	\$22.32	\$26.92	\$26.80	\$23.84	\$27.39	\$33.26	\$23.34	\$35.78
Land.....	21.42	18.17	22.23	20.40	17.99	21.12	21.70	17.48	22.53	21.89	19.04	22.45	27.98	21.01	29.75
Buildings.....	4.62	4.86	4.55	4.53	5.13	4.35	4.47	4.84	4.39	4.91	4.80	4.94	5.28	2.33	6.03

There are very material differences between the average shown in the table for the plantations with complete reports and those for the plantations without complete reports, particularly with respect to the landlord farms. The average plantation with a complete report was very much larger than the average plantation without a complete report, but it contained comparatively little more improved land, the percentage of farm land improved being much lower. The average value of land and buildings for the plantations with complete reports was \$19,879, as compared with \$13,972 for those without complete reports. The average value per acre, however, was considerably higher for the plantations without complete reports,

\$26.04, as compared with \$22.92 for the plantations with complete reports, a difference naturally resulting from the greater proportion of improved land in plantations of the first-named class.

The average amount of land retained by landlords of plantations with complete reports was no less than 501.7 acres, while for the plantations without complete reports the corresponding average was only 107.1 acres. This difference, as already suggested, may probably be attributable to the fact that some, of not many, of the plantations without complete reports are either not true plantations at all or are in a transitory state. Since, however, a larger proportion of the landlord farms consists of improved land in the case of the

plantations without complete reports, the average value of land and buildings per acre is higher for them than for the plantations with complete reports.

There are no very great differences between the tenant farms on plantations with complete reports and those on plantations without complete reports. On the average the latter are somewhat the larger and consequently have the greater value of land and buildings, but the average value of land and buildings per acre for such farms is slightly lower than for those on plantations with complete reports—a natural result of the smaller proportion of improved land.

It is noteworthy that, whereas in most parts of the country the very large farms contain a much smaller proportion of improved land than farms of moderate size, and consequently have a lower value per acre, this is by no means true with respect to plantations. The large plantations of the South are for the most part located in the most fertile sections. Confining attention to the tenant plantations with complete reports as being most typical, it will be seen that there is practically no difference between the different classes of plantations, based on the number of tenants, with respect to the proportion of farm land improved, about half being improved in each case. The average value of land per acre, however, increases with the number of tenants, being \$18.01 in the case of plantations with complete reports having from 5 to 9 tenants, and rising gradually to \$22.41 in the case of plantations having 50 or more tenants. There is no such uniform movement in the averages for the value of buildings per acre of land, but this average for plantations of 50 or more tenants is higher than for those of any other class.

The magnitude of the agricultural operations conducted on some of the southern plantations may be judged from the fact that the 226 plantations with complete reports having 50 tenants or more each contained on the average 4,216.7 acres, of which 2,096.7 acres were improved, and that the average value of land and buildings for such plantations was \$114,000.

As might be expected, the landlords of the very large plantations retain a somewhat smaller proportion of the total acreage in their own hands and lease a larger proportion to tenants than the landlords of the smaller plantations. In the case of some of the large plantations the greater part consists of improved land which is leased to tenants. In the case of the tenant farms, however, a somewhat smaller proportion of the land is improved on the very large plantations than on the smaller plantations.

PLANTATIONS, BY STATES.

Table 12 presents statistics regarding plantations, classified according to number of tenants, by states, the plantations without complete reports being included with the others. In comparing the figures for different states, and particularly in considering the relation between these figures and those for all farms

in the several states, it should be borne in mind that the statistics do not cover all the counties of any state, and that for some of the states they cover a much larger proportion of the total number of counties than for others. There are of course some plantations in counties not covered by the table, although they are largely confined to the counties covered. The concentration of the plantation system is due to the fact that it is chiefly found in districts which produce large quantities of cotton and which have a large Negro population. Some of the plantations in the sugar cane districts of Louisiana, however, are very highly organized. It should be borne in mind that while the plantations may represent only a very small part of the total farm area in some of the states, yet in some of the counties of such states plantations may be the dominant form of agricultural operation.

There is little doubt that the plantation system is both absolutely and relatively more important in Mississippi than in any other state. On the 7,960 plantations in this state for which the Census Bureau has tabulated statistics there were 99,432 tenant farms. These plantations contained more than 5,000,000 acres of farm land, of which more than 3,000,000 acres were improved. The value of the land and buildings of the Mississippi plantations was over \$140,000,000. The plantation system is probably more firmly fixed in the Yazoo-Mississippi delta than in any other area of the South. The fertile soil and climatic conditions favorable for cotton raising, together with the large Negro population, make the plantation the dominant form of agricultural organization in the delta.

In two other states, Alabama and Georgia, the area of the plantations for which statistics were tabulated by the Census Bureau exceeded 5,000,000 acres. South Carolina ranked fourth, with more than 3,000,000 acres in such plantations. The plantations in Alabama contained 3,028,979 acres of improved land, and those in Georgia 2,855,402 acres of such land. There was more improved land in the plantations of Texas, as tabulated by the bureau, than in those of South Carolina.

On the 7,287 plantations in Alabama for which statistics were tabulated there were 76,746 tenant farms; on the 6,627 plantations in Georgia there were 57,003 tenant farms; and on the 5,105 plantations in South Carolina there were 43,624 tenant farms.

In the value of the land and buildings of plantations the states did not rank in the same order as in acreage. Mississippi was first, with more than \$140,000,000; Georgia was second, with more than \$100,000,000; South Carolina third, with more than \$92,000,000; Texas fourth, with more than \$91,000,000; and Alabama fifth, with over \$81,000,000. There are some differences among the states with respect to the relative importance of the plantations with complete reports and those without complete reports. In every state, however, the former are the more numerous, and contain the greater acreage.

NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS, AND OF LANDLORD FARMS AND TENANT FARMS ON TENANT PLANTATIONS, IN 325 SELECTED COUNTIES OF ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES: 1910.

Table 12

	TENANT PLANTATIONS OF—									
	All classes.	5 to 9 tenants.	10 to 19 tenants.	20 to 49 tenants.	50 tenants and over.	All classes.	5 to 9 tenants.	10 to 19 tenants.	20 to 49 tenants.	50 tenants and over.
THE SOUTH (325 COUNTIES).						FLORIDA (1 COUNTY).				
Number:										
Plantations.....	39,073	26,562	9,160	2,939	412	84	53	24	6	1
Landlord farms.....	39,073	26,562	9,160	2,939	412	84	53	24	6	1
Tenant farms.....	398,905	108,089	118,862	82,404	29,550	912	356	279	179	98
Acres of all land:										
Plantations.....	28,290,815	13,147,956	8,731,179	4,961,152	1,456,528	70,275	30,461	22,615	8,481	8,718
Landlord farms.....	12,929,417	6,038,777	4,015,807	2,308,518	566,315	29,593	15,246	10,657	80	3,910
Tenant farms.....	15,367,398	7,109,179	4,715,372	2,652,634	890,213	40,682	15,215	11,958	8,401	4,808
Per cent in tenant farms.....	54.3	54.1	54.0	53.5	61.1	57.5	49.9	52.9	99.1	55.2
Acres of improved land:										
Plantations.....	15,830,363	7,273,782	4,838,608	2,865,315	858,058	47,577	20,774	14,263	7,795	4,745
Landlord farms.....	3,384,040	1,732,611	978,337	552,212	120,880	10,819	7,141	3,248	70	360
Tenant farms.....	12,446,323	5,541,171	3,860,271	2,313,103	737,778	36,758	13,633	11,015	7,725	4,385
Per cent in tenant farms.....	78.6	76.2	79.8	80.7	85.9	77.3	65.6	77.2	99.1	92.4
Value of land and buildings:										
Plantations.....	\$876,802,983	\$303,295,830	\$205,617,622	\$125,452,839	\$42,436,692	\$946,669	\$442,301	\$294,785	\$118,013	\$91,570
Landlord farms.....	256,494,380	120,540,973	70,709,209	46,549,652	12,688,552	406,417	233,462	133,775	1,600	37,680
Tenant farms.....	420,308,597	182,748,857	128,908,413	78,903,187	29,748,140	540,252	208,839	161,010	116,513	53,890
Per cent in tenant farms.....	62.1	60.3	62.7	62.9	70.1	57.1	47.2	54.0	98.7	58.9
ALABAMA (47 COUNTIES).						GEORGIA (70 COUNTIES).				
Number:										
Plantations.....	7,287	4,703	1,907	616	61	6,627	4,048	1,417	239	23
Landlord farms.....	7,287	4,703	1,907	616	61	6,627	4,048	1,417	239	23
Tenant farms.....	76,748	30,113	24,939	17,309	4,385	57,003	31,186	18,071	6,171	1,575
Acres of all land:										
Plantations.....	5,379,047	2,274,019	1,756,736	1,100,244	247,448	5,219,145	2,795,142	1,670,449	621,270	132,284
Landlord farms.....	2,439,140	1,036,089	786,699	513,094	102,658	2,100,219	1,123,963	666,919	280,236	29,101
Tenant farms.....	2,939,907	1,238,530	970,037	586,550	144,790	3,118,926	1,671,179	1,003,530	341,034	103,183
Per cent in tenant farms.....	54.7	54.4	55.2	63.3	58.5	59.8	59.8	60.1	54.9	78.0
Acres of improved land:										
Plantations.....	3,028,979	1,200,647	1,000,679	613,151	139,502	2,855,402	1,551,505	905,327	313,408	85,162
Landlord farms.....	603,709	261,503	198,805	100,272	13,129	504,605	300,972	144,650	48,953	10,030
Tenant farms.....	2,425,210	975,084	810,874	512,879	126,373	2,350,797	1,250,533	760,677	264,455	75,132
Per cent in tenant farms.....	80.1	77.0	80.3	83.0	90.6	82.3	80.0	84.0	84.4	88.2
Value of land and buildings:										
Plantations.....	\$81,165,493	\$34,254,344	\$26,645,589	\$17,111,016	\$3,153,644	\$100,583,489	\$55,883,235	\$31,512,477	\$10,089,535	\$2,498,242
Landlord farms.....	31,364,848	13,772,233	9,086,628	6,700,750	905,228	37,270,897	21,084,103	11,516,952	4,165,842	604,000
Tenant farms.....	49,800,645	20,482,111	16,658,961	10,411,157	2,248,416	63,312,592	34,799,132	19,995,525	6,523,693	1,094,242
Per cent in tenant farms.....	61.4	59.8	62.5	60.8	71.3	62.9	62.3	63.5	61.0	79.8
ARKANSAS (23 COUNTIES).						LOUISIANA (20 PARISHES).				
Number:										
Plantations.....	2,674	1,518	714	364	78	2,480	1,419	698	329	34
Landlord farms.....	2,674	1,518	714	364	78	2,480	1,419	698	329	34
Tenant farms.....	85,179	9,959	9,408	10,420	5,020	29,654	6,115	8,970	9,302	2,261
Acres of all land:										
Plantations.....	1,646,424	494,955	418,244	497,410	235,815	2,241,840	737,825	804,030	585,080	114,905
Landlord farms.....	673,884	194,212	151,211	228,983	69,473	1,460,254	484,009	574,414	374,162	66,669
Tenant farms.....	972,540	300,743	267,033	268,422	166,342	781,586	253,816	229,616	210,918	48,236
Per cent in tenant farms.....	59.1	60.8	63.8	64.0	57.8	63.1	64.4	28.6	36.0	42.0
Acres of improved land:										
Plantations.....	1,054,049	312,274	289,357	303,783	148,635	1,190,599	426,189	369,118	323,140	72,152
Landlord farms.....	161,580	51,500	42,807	48,234	19,019	495,038	192,110	155,250	120,936	26,742
Tenant farms.....	892,469	260,774	246,550	255,549	129,616	695,561	234,079	213,868	202,204	45,410
Per cent in tenant farms.....	84.7	83.5	85.2	84.1	87.2	68.4	64.0	67.9	62.6	62.9
Value of land and buildings:										
Plantations.....	\$57,378,470	\$15,531,841	\$14,568,544	\$17,558,547	\$9,719,544	\$59,641,048	\$10,700,185	\$20,069,360	\$16,159,281	\$3,112,222
Landlord farms.....	16,769,121	4,779,731	3,505,717	5,674,889	2,938,834	33,862,955	11,470,447	12,610,392	8,275,807	1,606,309
Tenant farms.....	40,609,349	10,752,110	11,062,827	11,883,658	6,780,710	25,778,093	8,229,738	8,058,968	7,883,474	1,605,913
Per cent in tenant farms.....	70.7	60.2	75.9	68.2	69.8	43.2	41.8	39.0	48.8	51.6
MISSISSIPPI (45 COUNTIES).						TENNESSEE (11 COUNTIES).				
Number:										
Plantations.....	7,960	4,735	2,098	938	189	1,413	1,060	286	53	5
Landlord farms.....	7,960	4,735	2,098	938	189	1,413	1,060	286	53	5
Tenant farms.....	99,432	30,311	27,892	27,101	14,128	12,074	6,096	3,636	1,473	269
Acres of all land:										
Plantations.....	5,281,155	1,878,526	1,551,981	1,233,020	617,028	690,462	388,937	217,879	69,840	13,806
Landlord farms.....	2,400,007	919,918	728,178	532,054	228,457	244,593	151,783	72,879	12,941	6,980
Tenant farms.....	2,880,548	958,608	823,803	700,966	387,171	445,879	237,154	145,000	56,899	6,826
Per cent in tenant farms.....	54.5	51.0	53.1	56.9	64.3	64.6	61.0	60.6	81.5	49.4
Acres of improved land:										
Plantations.....	3,196,834	1,118,504	924,169	796,644	357,427	449,506	258,817	134,973	40,049	6,667
Landlord farms.....	701,269	306,008	203,184	147,580	44,497	88,501	61,493	22,215	4,447	346
Tenant farms.....	2,495,565	812,588	720,985	649,064	312,930	361,005	197,324	112,758	44,602	6,321
Per cent in tenant farms.....	78.1	72.6	78.0	81.5	87.5	80.3	76.2	83.5	90.9	94.8
Value of land and buildings:										
Plantations.....	\$140,381,389	\$40,312,784	\$39,800,561	\$39,380,695	\$20,878,379	\$19,241,636	\$10,090,884	\$6,015,790	\$1,009,857	\$325,105
Landlord farms.....	51,062,344	16,570,899	14,977,005	13,570,332	5,944,108	5,935,670	3,893,842	1,583,768	393,900	64,130
Tenant farms.....	89,319,045	23,741,885	24,823,556	25,810,363	14,934,271	13,305,966	7,097,042	4,431,992	1,615,957	280,975
Per cent in tenant farms.....	63.6	68.9	62.4	65.5	71.5	69.1	64.6	73.7	79.4	80.3

NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS, AND OF LANDLORD FARMS AND TENANT FARMS ON TENANT PLANTATIONS, IN 325 SELECTED COUNTIES OF ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES: 1910—Continued.

Table 12—Continued.

	TENANT PLANTATIONS OF—									
	All classes.	5 to 9 tenants.	10 to 19 tenants.	20 to 49 tenants.	50 tenants and over.	All classes.	5 to 9 tenants.	10 to 19 tenants.	20 to 49 tenants.	50 tenants and over.
NORTH CAROLINA (21 COUNTIES).						TEXAS (41 COUNTIES).				
Number:										
Plantations.....	1,775	1,447	292	36	3,408	2,659	602	135	9
Landlord farms.....	1,775	1,447	292	36	3,408	2,659	602	135	9
Tenant farms.....	13,548	8,887	3,623	1,038	29,190	16,522	8,414	3,704	550
Acres of all land:										
Plantations.....	1,161,100	776,813	291,942	92,345	2,972,057	1,697,810	872,572	355,213	43,472
Landlord farms.....	568,150	390,250	131,141	46,753	1,201,772	708,680	330,636	144,642	17,814
Tenant farms.....	592,950	386,557	160,801	45,592	1,770,285	989,130	541,936	213,571	25,658
Per cent in tenant farms.....	51.1	49.8	55.1	49.4	59.6	58.3	62.1	59.6	59.0
Acres of improved land:										
Plantations.....	530,830	352,029	130,374	39,427	1,752,524	971,546	535,033	218,374	27,521
Landlord farms.....	124,819	89,222	28,630	6,907	302,793	181,790	72,393	43,127	5,483
Tenant farms.....	406,011	262,807	110,744	32,400	1,449,731	789,756	462,640	175,247	22,038
Per cent in tenant farms.....	76.5	74.7	79.5	82.3	82.7	81.3	80.5	80.2	80.1
Value of land and buildings:										
Plantations.....	\$30,660,981	\$20,881,149	\$7,573,907	\$2,205,925	\$91,400,589	\$49,604,454	\$29,549,095	\$10,807,320	\$1,439,114
Landlord farms.....	12,562,638	8,896,845	2,891,583	774,210	25,354,658	14,130,806	7,359,754	3,431,103	424,195
Tenant farms.....	18,098,343	11,984,304	4,682,324	1,431,715	66,045,931	35,464,848	22,189,941	7,376,223	1,014,919
Per cent in tenant farms.....	59.0	57.4	61.8	64.9	72.3	71.5	75.1	68.3	70.5
SOUTH CAROLINA (35 COUNTIES).						VIRGINIA (2 COUNTIES).				
Number:										
Plantations.....	5,105	3,849	1,029	215	12	200	162	33	5
Landlord farms.....	5,105	3,849	1,029	215	12	200	162	33	5
Tenant farms.....	43,624	24,247	13,145	5,574	658	1,543	997	419	127
Acres of all land:										
Plantations.....	3,458,985	1,961,896	1,071,890	332,757	42,452	176,315	110,972	52,851	12,492
Landlord farms.....	1,718,318	980,059	541,558	171,348	19,253	53,597	28,562	21,415	3,620
Tenant farms.....	1,740,667	976,837	530,222	211,409	23,199	122,718	82,410	31,436	8,872
Per cent in tenant farms.....	50.3	49.7	49.5	55.2	54.6	69.6	74.3	69.5	71.0
Acres of improved land:										
Plantations.....	1,652,865	942,205	498,937	194,876	16,847	77,198	53,202	18,328	5,668
Landlord farms.....	373,162	235,008	103,454	30,426	1,274	17,685	12,804	3,701	1,180
Tenant farms.....	1,279,703	704,197	395,483	164,450	15,573	59,513	40,398	14,627	4,488
Per cent in tenant farms.....	77.4	74.7	79.3	84.4	92.4	77.1	75.9	70.8	79.2
Value of land and buildings:										
Plantations.....	\$92,530,700	\$53,750,740	\$28,229,857	\$9,331,231	\$1,218,872	\$2,872,513	\$1,943,913	\$757,057	\$171,543
Landlord farms.....	40,834,910	25,034,600	11,524,282	3,611,960	304,068	1,030,928	671,205	319,323	49,490
Tenant farms.....	51,695,790	28,716,140	16,405,575	5,719,271	854,804	1,832,585	1,272,708	437,734	122,143
Per cent in tenant farms.....	55.9	53.4	58.1	61.3	70.1	63.8	65.5	57.8	71.2

PLANTATION AVERAGES, BY STATES.

Table 13 shows averages and percentages with reference to the plantations and plantation farms in each of the eleven selected states.

While the average size of all the plantations in the 325 counties of the South for which statistics were tabulated was 724.2 acres, six of the eleven states included in the statistics showed higher averages than this, the highest being in Louisiana, 904 acres, and the lowest in Tennessee, 488.6 acres.

The percentage of improved land in the plantations of the several states varied considerably, the highest percentage, 67.7, being in Florida, where, however, statistics were tabulated for only one county, and the

lowest, 43.8, in Virginia, where the number of counties covered was also small.

The average value of land and buildings per plantation was highest in Texas, \$26,355, equal to \$30.75 per acre. The figures for Texas do not include live stock ranches, since these are not commonly operated by tenants. They are included with large farms or plantations operated by wage labor, considered fully in a later section of this report. Louisiana ranked second and Arkansas third in respect to the average value of land and buildings of plantations, but the average per acre was higher in Arkansas than in any other state, \$34.85, this high average being, no doubt, due to the fertility of the cotton lands in the river deltas, where the plantation system is found.

AVERAGE ACREAGE AND VALUE OF ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS, BY PLANTATIONS AND LANDLORD AND TENANT FARMS, IN THE 325 SELECTED COUNTIES OF ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES:¹ 1910.

Table 13

STATE.	TENANT PLANTATIONS OF—														
	All classes.			5 to 9 tenants.			10 to 19 tenants.			20 to 49 tenants.			50 tenants and over.		
	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.
THE SOUTH (325 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	724.2	330.9	38.5	495.0	227.3	42.3	953.2	438.4	39.7	1,688.0	785.5	32.2	3,535.3	1,374.6	30.1
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm....	405.3	86.6	31.2	273.8	65.2	33.0	528.2	106.8	32.5	974.9	187.9	28.1	2,084.1	293.4	25.0
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	56.0	26.2	81.0	55.3	28.7	77.9	55.4	24.4	81.9	57.8	23.9	87.2	59.0	21.3	82.9
Average value of land and buildings per planta- tion or farm.....	\$17,321	\$6,564	\$1,054	\$11,418	\$4,538	\$1,087	\$22,447	\$8,374	\$1,085	\$42,686	\$15,839	\$508	\$103,002	\$30,707	\$1,007
Land.....	14,818	5,385	875	9,325	3,028	900	18,720	6,968	906	35,673	13,414	794	86,024	26,122	835
Buildings.....	3,003	1,179	179	2,093	910	187	3,727	1,406	179	7,013	2,425	164	16,978	4,675	172
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$23.62	\$19.84	\$27.35	\$23.07	\$19.06	\$25.71	\$23.55	\$19.10	\$27.34	\$25.29	\$20.16	\$29.75	\$29.14	\$22.41	\$33.42
Land.....	19.77	16.27	22.71	18.84	15.93	21.28	19.64	15.90	22.83	21.13	17.08	24.66	24.33	19.00	27.72
Buildings.....	4.15	3.57	4.64	4.23	4.00	4.43	3.91	3.20	4.51	4.16	3.08	5.09	4.81	3.41	5.70
ALABAMA (47 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	738.2	334.7	33.3	483.7	220.3	41.1	921.2	412.5	38.9	1,780.1	833.9	33.9	4,056.5	1,682.9	33.0
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm....	415.7	82.9	31.6	269.3	62.0	32.4	529.5	104.3	32.5	995.4	162.8	29.6	2,286.9	215.2	28.8
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	56.3	24.8	82.5	55.7	28.1	78.7	57.5	25.3	83.6	55.7	19.5	87.4	56.4	12.8	87.3
Average value of land and buildings per planta- tion or farm.....	\$11,138	\$4,304	\$649	\$7,284	\$2,925	\$680	\$13,973	\$5,237	\$668	\$27,779	\$10,878	\$601	\$51,099	\$14,840	\$513
Land.....	8,904	3,483	515	5,732	2,285	538	11,183	4,221	532	22,815	9,398	477	41,739	12,962	400
Buildings.....	2,234	821	134	1,552	643	142	2,790	1,016	136	4,964	1,480	124	9,960	1,878	113
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$15.09	\$12.86	\$16.94	\$15.00	\$13.29	\$16.54	\$15.17	\$12.69	\$17.17	\$15.55	\$13.04	\$17.75	\$12.74	\$8.82	\$15.53
Land.....	12.06	10.40	13.44	11.85	10.37	13.09	12.14	10.23	13.69	12.77	11.27	14.09	10.29	7.70	12.12
Buildings.....	3.03	2.46	3.50	3.21	2.92	3.45	3.03	2.46	3.48	2.78	1.77	3.66	2.45	1.12	3.41
ARKANSAS (23 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	615.7	252.0	27.6	320.1	127.9	31.1	535.8	211.8	28.2	1,366.5	629.1	25.7	3,023.3	1,275.3	24.2
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm....	394.2	60.4	25.4	205.7	33.9	27.0	405.3	60.0	26.0	834.6	132.6	24.5	1,905.6	243.8	23.0
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	64.0	24.0	81.8	63.1	26.5	86.7	60.2	28.3	92.3	61.1	21.1	95.2	63.0	19.1	95.1
Average value of land and buildings per planta- tion or farm.....	\$21,458	\$6,282	\$1,154	\$10,232	\$3,149	\$1,113	\$20,404	\$4,910	\$1,168	\$48,238	\$15,315	\$1,140	\$124,610	\$37,677	\$1,205
Land.....	18,271	5,325	984	8,628	2,634	942	17,349	4,149	995	41,270	13,069	985	107,048	32,369	1,035
Buildings.....	3,187	957	170	1,604	515	171	3,055	761	173	6,968	2,246	154	17,562	5,308	170
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$34.85	\$24.93	\$41.73	\$31.38	\$24.61	\$35.75	\$34.83	\$23.18	\$41.43	\$35.30	\$24.35	\$44.05	\$41.22	\$29.54	\$49.73
Land.....	29.67	21.13	35.60	26.46	20.58	30.25	29.62	19.59	35.30	30.20	20.76	38.26	35.41	25.38	42.72
Buildings.....	5.18	3.80	6.13	4.92	4.03	5.50	5.21	3.59	6.13	5.10	3.60	6.39	5.81	4.16	7.01
FLORIDA (1 county).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	836.6	355.9	44.3	574.7	287.7	42.7	942.3	440.0	42.9	1,413.5	13.3	46.9	8,718.0	3,910.0	49.1
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm....	566.4	128.8	40.3	392.0	134.7	38.3	594.3	135.3	39.5	1,290.2	11.7	43.2	4,745.0	360.0	44.7
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	67.7	36.2	91.0	68.2	46.8	89.7	63.1	30.5	92.1	91.9	87.5	92.0	54.4	9.2	91.2
Average value of land and buildings per planta- tion or farm.....	\$11,270	\$4,838	\$592	\$8,345	\$4,405	\$587	\$12,283	\$5,574	\$577	\$19,069	\$250	\$651	\$91,570	\$37,680	\$550
Land.....	9,293	4,069	491	6,914	3,638	488	9,915	4,005	457	16,306	208	540	78,380	37,180	420
Buildings.....	1,977	769	111	1,431	767	99	2,368	969	120	3,363	42	111	13,190	500	130
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$13.47	\$13.60	\$13.88	\$14.52	\$15.31	\$13.73	\$13.03	\$12.55	\$13.40	\$13.01	\$18.75	\$13.87	\$10.50	\$9.04	\$11.21
Land.....	11.11	11.43	10.87	12.03	12.65	11.41	10.52	10.37	13.00	11.54	15.02	11.50	8.99	9.51	8.57
Buildings.....	2.36	2.17	2.91	2.49	2.66	2.32	2.51	2.18	2.80	2.37	3.13	2.37	1.51	0.13	2.64
GEORGIA (70 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	787.6	316.9	54.7	564.9	227.2	53.6	1,178.9	470.7	55.5	2,599.5	1,172.5	55.3	5,751.5	1,265.3	65.5
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm....	430.09	76.1	41.2	313.6	60.8	40.1	638.9	102.1	42.1	1,311.3	204.8	42.9	3,702.7	436.1	47.7
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	54.7	24.0	75.3	55.5	26.8	74.8	54.2	21.7	75.8	50.4	17.5	77.6	64.4	34.5	72.8
Average value of land and buildings per planta- tion or farm.....	\$15,178	\$6,024	\$1,111	\$11,294	\$4,261	\$1,110	\$22,239	\$8,128	\$1,106	\$44,720	\$17,430	\$1,057	\$108,619	\$21,913	\$1,266
Land.....	12,183	4,518	891	8,964	3,330	804	18,023	6,080	889	36,815	15,000	841	88,808	17,074	1,047
Buildings.....	2,995	1,506	220	2,330	931	306	4,216	1,448	217	7,911	2,430	216	19,811	4,839	219
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$19.27	\$17.75	\$20.80	\$19.90	\$18.76	\$20.82	\$18.86	\$17.27	\$19.93	\$17.21	\$14.87	\$10.13	\$18.80	\$17.32	\$10.33
Land.....	15.47	14.26	16.29	15.87	14.66	16.68	15.29	14.19	16.02	14.16	12.87	15.22	15.44	13.49	15.99
Buildings.....	3.80	3.49	4.51	4.12	4.10	4.14	3.57	3.08	3.91	3.05	2.00	3.91	3.45	3.83	3.34
LOUISIANA (29 parishes).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	904.0	604.5	25.0	522.0	341.1	27.8	1,151.9	822.9	25.6	1,778.4	1,137.3	22.7	3,379.6	1,990.9	21.3
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm....	480.1	199.6	23.5	300.3	135.4	25.7	628.8	222.4	23.8	982.2	367.6	21.7	2,122.1	786.5	20.1
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	53.1	33.0	93.7	57.8	39.7	92.2	45.0	27.0	93.1	55.2	32.3	95.9	62.8	40.1	94.1
Average value of land and buildings per planta- tion or farm.....	\$24,049	\$13,654	\$889	\$13,883	\$8,083	\$903	\$29,612	\$18,066	\$898	\$49,116	\$25,154	\$848	\$91,536	\$44,303	\$710
Land.....	19,857	11,370	710	11,490	6,724	742	24,954	15,489	736	39,574	20,126	688	73,618	35,994	566
Buildings.....	4,192	2,284	159	2,393	1,359	161	4,658	2,577	162	9,542	5,028	160	17,918	8,309	144
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$26.00	\$22.58	\$34.71	\$26.70	\$23.69	\$32.42	\$25.71	\$21.96	\$35.09	\$27.62	\$22.12	\$37.38	\$27.08	\$22.58	\$33.29
Land.....	21.06	18.81	28.34	22.09	19.72	26.64	21.66	18.82	28.77	22.26	17.69	30.34	21.78	18.35	26.62
Buildings.....	4.64	3.77	6.37	4.61	3.97	5.78	5.04	3.14	6.32	5.36	4.43	7.04	5.30	4.23	6.77

¹ Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia.

AVERAGE ACREAGE AND VALUE OF ALL TENANT PLANTATIONS, BY PLANTATIONS AND LANDLORD AND TENANT FARMS, IN THE 325 SELECTED COUNTIES OF ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES: 1910—Continued.

Table 13—Continued.

STATE.	TENANT PLANTATIONS OF—														
	All classes.			5 to 9 tenants.			10 to 19 tenants.			20 to 49 tenants.			50 tenants and over.		
	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.	Plan- tations.	Land- lord farms.	Ten- ant farms.
MISSISSIPPI (45 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	603.5	301.0	20.0	396.7	194.3	31.0	739.7	347.1	20.5	1,314.5	567.2	25.9	3,267.9	1,166.4	28.1
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	401.6	88.1	25.1	236.2	64.6	26.8	440.5	96.8	25.8	849.3	157.3	23.9	1,801.1	235.4	22.1
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	66.5	29.2	86.6	59.5	33.3	84.8	59.6	27.9	87.5	64.6	27.7	92.0	57.9	20.2	78.8
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$17,636	\$6,415	\$898	\$8,514	\$3,500	\$783	\$18,971	\$7,139	\$890	\$41,993	\$14,467	\$953	\$110,468	\$31,450	\$1,057
Land.....	14,388	5,246	732	6,738	2,748	623	15,560	5,874	729	34,848	12,141	786	61,496	26,638	868
Buildings.....	3,248	1,169	166	1,776	752	160	3,411	1,265	161	7,145	2,326	167	18,972	4,812	189
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$26.58	\$21.27	\$31.01	\$21.46	\$18.01	\$24.77	\$25.05	\$20.57	\$30.13	\$31.95	\$25.51	\$30.83	\$33.80	\$26.96	\$37.00
Land.....	21.69	17.39	25.26	16.98	14.14	19.71	21.03	16.92	24.67	26.51	21.40	30.39	28.00	22.84	30.80
Buildings.....	4.89	3.88	5.75	4.48	3.87	5.06	4.02	3.65	5.46	5.44	4.11	6.44	5.80	4.12	6.74
NORTH CAROLINA (21 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	654.1	320.1	43.8	536.8	269.7	43.5	999.8	449.1	44.4	2,565.1	1,298.7	43.9
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	299.1	70.3	30.0	243.3	61.7	29.6	477.3	98.0	30.6	1,095.2	193.5	31.3
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	45.7	22.0	68.5	45.3	22.9	68.0	47.7	21.8	68.9	42.7	14.9	71.2
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$17,274	\$7,078	\$1,336	\$14,430	\$6,148	\$1,849	\$25,038	\$9,008	\$1,292	\$61,276	\$21,506	\$1,370
Land.....	13,977	5,706	1,084	11,640	4,887	1,100	20,978	8,084	1,039	51,110	19,318	1,102
Buildings.....	3,297	1,372	252	2,790	1,261	249	4,060	1,819	253	10,166	2,188	277
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$26.41	\$22.11	\$30.52	\$20.88	\$22.80	\$31.00	\$25.04	\$22.05	\$29.12	\$23.89	\$10.56	\$31.40
Land.....	21.37	17.83	24.76	21.68	18.12	25.28	20.03	18.00	23.41	19.92	14.88	25.10
Buildings.....	5.04	4.28	5.76	5.20	4.68	5.72	4.96	4.05	5.71	3.97	1.68	6.30
SOUTH CAROLINA (35 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	677.6	366.6	39.9	509.7	250.2	40.2	1,041.7	526.4	40.3	1,780.3	797.0	37.9	3,537.7	1,604.4	35.3
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	323.8	73.1	29.3	244.8	61.8	29.0	484.0	100.5	30.1	906.4	141.5	29.5	1,403.9	106.2	23.7
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	47.8	21.7	73.5	48.0	24.1	72.2	46.6	19.1	74.6	50.9	17.8	77.8	39.7	6.6	67.1
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$18,125	\$7,999	\$1,185	\$13,965	\$6,504	\$1,184	\$27,434	\$11,491	\$1,248	\$43,401	\$16,800	\$1,026	\$101,573	\$30,339	\$1,209
Land.....	15,078	6,531	1,000	11,498	5,231	904	23,111	9,497	1,036	36,647	14,608	854	88,780	26,089	1,143
Buildings.....	3,047	1,468	185	2,469	1,273	190	4,323	1,994	182	6,754	2,202	172	12,793	4,250	166
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$26.75	\$23.76	\$29.69	\$27.39	\$25.39	\$29.43	\$26.34	\$21.83	\$30.04	\$24.38	\$21.03	\$27.05	\$28.71	\$18.90	\$36.84
Land.....	22.25	19.40	25.07	22.55	20.43	24.71	22.19	18.04	26.42	20.55	18.20	22.51	25.09	16.26	32.43
Buildings.....	4.50	4.36	4.62	4.84	4.96	4.72	4.15	3.79	4.62	3.80	2.83	4.54	3.62	2.64	4.41
TENNESSEE (11 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	488.6	173.1	36.9	363.8	142.0	35.4	761.8	254.8	39.9	1,317.7	244.2	38.0	2,761.2	1,396.0	25.4
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	318.1	62.6	29.9	242.1	57.5	29.5	471.0	77.7	31.0	925.5	83.9	30.3	1,333.4	69.2	23.5
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	65.1	36.2	81.0	66.5	40.5	83.2	61.9	30.5	77.8	70.2	34.4	78.4	48.3	5.0	92.6
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$13,618	\$4,201	\$1,102	\$10,281	\$3,643	\$1,060	\$21,034	\$5,538	\$1,210	\$36,035	\$7,432	\$1,029	\$65,021	\$12,826	\$970
Land.....	11,178	3,266	926	8,306	2,773	883	17,668	4,401	1,044	29,967	6,246	853	54,701	12,226	789
Buildings.....	2,440	935	176	1,975	870	177	3,366	1,137	175	6,068	1,186	176	10,320	600	181
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$27.87	\$24.27	\$29.84	\$28.26	\$25.65	\$29.93	\$27.61	\$21.73	\$30.57	\$27.35	\$30.44	\$26.64	\$23.54	\$9.19	\$38.23
Land.....	22.88	18.87	25.07	22.83	19.53	24.94	23.19	17.27	26.17	22.74	25.58	22.10	19.81	8.75	31.11
Buildings.....	4.99	5.40	4.77	5.43	6.12	4.99	4.42	4.46	4.40	4.61	4.86	4.54	3.73	0.44	7.12
TEXAS (41 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	857.0	346.5	60.6	638.5	260.5	59.9	1,318.1	490.5	64.4	2,695.7	1,048.1	57.7	4,830.2	1,679.3	46.7
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	505.3	87.3	49.7	365.4	68.4	47.8	808.3	100.4	55.0	1,582.4	312.5	47.3	3,057.9	609.2	40.1
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	59.0	25.2	81.9	57.2	25.7	79.8	61.3	21.9	85.4	61.0	29.8	82.1	63.3	30.8	85.9
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$26,355	\$7,311	\$2,263	\$18,655	\$5,317	\$2,147	\$44,637	\$11,117	\$2,637	\$78,314	\$24,803	\$1,991	\$159,902	\$47,133	\$1,845
Land.....	23,286	6,282	2,020	16,298	4,448	1,907	39,883	9,803	2,366	70,486	22,345	1,793	143,377	42,711	1,647
Buildings.....	3,069	1,029	243	2,357	869	240	4,754	1,314	271	7,828	2,518	198	16,525	4,422	198
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$30.75	\$21.10	\$37.31	\$29.22	\$19.95	\$35.85	\$33.87	\$22.26	\$40.95	\$30.17	\$23.72	\$34.54	\$33.10	\$23.81	\$39.55
Land.....	27.17	18.13	33.31	25.52	16.09	31.85	30.26	19.63	36.74	27.15	21.32	31.11	29.08	21.68	35.31
Buildings.....	3.58	2.97	4.00	3.70	3.86	4.00	3.61	2.63	4.21	3.02	2.40	3.43	3.42	2.23	4.24
VIRGINIA (2 counties).															
Average total acreage per plantation or farm....	881.6	268.0	79.5	685.0	176.3	82.7	1,601.5	648.9	75.0	2,498.4	724.0	69.9
Average improved acreage per plantation or farm.....	386.0	88.4	38.6	328.4	79.0	40.5	555.4	112.2	34.9	1,133.0	236.0	35.3
Per cent of total acreage improved.....	43.8	33.0	48.5	47.9	44.8	49.0	34.7	17.3	40.5	45.4	32.6	50.6
Average value of land and buildings per plantation or farm.....	\$14,363	\$5,200	\$1,188	\$11,999	\$4,143	\$1,276	\$22,941	\$9,676	\$1,045	\$34,809	\$9,880	\$962
Land.....	10,470	3,396	917	8,686	2,572	993	16,635	6,912	790	25,591	6,880	737
Buildings.....	3,893	1,804	271	3,313	1,571	283	6,006	2,764	255	9,218	3,000	225
Average value of land and buildings per acre of land.....	\$16.29	\$10.40	\$14.93	\$17.52	\$23.50	\$15.44	\$14.32	\$14.91	\$13.92	\$13.73	\$13.65	\$13.77
Land.....	11.88	12.67	11.53	12.68	14.59	12.02	10.57	10.65	10.52	10.24	9.50	10.54
Buildings.....	4.41	6.73	3.40	4.84	8.91	3.42	3.75	4.26	3.40	3.49	4.15	3.23

1 Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia.

COMPARISON OF PLANTATIONS WITH OTHER FARMS.

Table 14 presents a comparison between the total number, acreage, and value of all farms in the 325 counties covered by the plantation statistics and the number, acreage, and value of farms on tenant plantations. Corresponding statistics are also presented for each of the eleven states. In this table the number of tenant plantation farms given represents the total number of farms retained by landlords, plus the number leased to tenants.

In the selected territory under consideration, the census of 1910 reported 1,182,099 farms. Of this number, 437,978, or 37.1 per cent, were plantation farms. Of the total acreage of farms in these counties, 31.5 per cent was in plantations, and of the total improved acreage, 33.4 per cent. Of the total value of land and buildings of farms in these counties, 32.8 per cent was represented by that of plantations.

The relative importance of the plantation system varies considerably in the different states. Thus, in the 45 counties of Mississippi which are covered by the tabulation, plantation farms constituted 53.7 per cent of the total number of farms, contained 44.1 per cent of the total acreage, and represented 55.4 per cent of the total value of land and buildings, these being the highest proportions shown for any state. On the other hand, in the two counties in Virginia in which any considerable number of plantations existed, plantation farms constituted in 1910 only 14.8 per cent of the total number of farms and contained 16 per cent of the total farm acreage. Aside from Mississippi, there was only one state—Louisiana—in which as much as two-fifths of the farm land in the counties covered by the investigation was in plantations, and only three others—Georgia, Alabama, and Arkansas—in which the proportion was as great as one-third.

NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF ALL FARMS AND OF PLANTATION FARMS IN 325 COUNTIES OF ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES, BY STATES: 1910.

Table 14									
STATE.	Number of farms.	ACREAGE.		Value of land and buildings.	STATE.	Number of farms.	ACREAGE.		Value of land and buildings.
		All land.	Improved land.				All land.	Improved land.	
THE SOUTH (325 counties).					MISSISSIPPI (45 counties).				
All farms.....	1,182,099	89,023,619	47,435,641	\$2,061,014,705	All farms.....	199,903	11,986,264	6,678,649	\$253,297,355
Plantation farms.....	437,978	28,296,815	15,830,363	676,802,983	Plantation farms.....	107,392	5,281,155	3,196,834	140,381,389
Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	37.1	31.5	33.4	32.8	Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	53.7	44.1	47.9	55.4
ALABAMA (47 counties).					NORTH CAROLINA (21 counties).				
All farms.....	205,969	15,434,150	7,920,638	217,811,434	All farms.....	79,609	6,626,469	2,703,683	159,722,629
Plantation farms.....	84,033	5,379,047	3,028,979	81,165,493	Plantation farms.....	15,323	1,161,100	530,830	30,660,981
Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	40.8	34.9	38.2	37.3	Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	19.2	17.5	19.6	19.2
ARKANSAS (23 counties).					SOUTH CAROLINA (35 counties).				
All farms.....	77,749	4,837,370	2,678,643	126,635,955	All farms.....	150,299	11,077,189	5,368,766	301,326,320
Plantation farms.....	37,853	1,046,424	1,054,049	57,378,476	Plantation farms.....	48,729	3,458,985	1,652,865	92,530,700
Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	48.7	34.0	39.4	45.3	Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	32.4	31.2	30.8	30.7
FLORIDA (1 county).					TENNESSEE (11 counties).				
All farms.....	4,096	375,603	181,537	4,477,980	All farms.....	48,010	3,175,211	1,898,586	86,300,245
Plantation farms.....	996	70,275	47,577	946,669	Plantation farms.....	13,487	690,462	449,506	19,241,636
Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	24.3	18.7	26.2	21.1	Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	27.6	21.7	23.7	22.3
GEORGIA (70 counties).					TEXAS (41 counties).				
All farms.....	169,234	14,195,823	7,093,857	285,072,287	All farms.....	161,322	15,794,068	8,760,980	463,557,191
Plantation farms.....	63,030	5,219,145	2,855,402	100,583,489	Plantation farms.....	32,653	2,972,067	1,752,524	91,400,559
Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	37.6	36.8	37.1	35.3	Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	20.2	18.8	20.0	19.7
LOUISIANA (29 parishes).					VIRGINIA (2 counties).				
All farms.....	73,207	5,322,169	3,033,031	143,258,552	All farms.....	11,801	1,099,285	517,271	19,464,757
Plantation farms.....	32,134	2,241,840	1,190,599	59,641,048	Plantation farms.....	1,743	176,315	77,198	2,872,513
Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	43.9	42.1	39.3	41.6	Per cent plantation farms represent of total.....	14.8	16.0	14.9	14.8

DISTRIBUTION OF ALL FARMS AND TOTAL FARM ACREAGE.

In order to show the relative importance of the different types of farming in the 325 counties under consideration a special effort has been made to account for all the farms in these 325 counties, and also to account for all land reported to be in farms. Table 15 shows the number of plantations whether operated by owners, general lessees, or managers. It also shows the proportion which these farms form of the total number of farms in the counties considered. The acreage of land in farms is similarly shown together with the proportion which this acreage forms of all

land in farms in these counties. In each case the average size of farm is shown. A total is given for the 325 counties as well as for the counties under review in each state.

It will be seen that while the 39,073 plantations in the 325 counties are unevenly distributed the actual numbers represent quite uniform percentages of all farms in the counties under consideration. In the 325 counties the plantations represent only 3.3 per cent of all farms. In Mississippi and Georgia they represent 3.9 per cent; in Alabama, 3.5 per cent; in Arkansas, 3.4 per cent; and in Louisiana and South

Carolina, 3.3 per cent. On the other hand, in Florida, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia they represent a somewhat smaller proportion. Consideration of these conditions helps to locate more accurately the real plantation area.

Table 15

STATE.	Number of counties.	FARMS OPERATED BY PLANTATION OWNERS, MANAGERS, AND GENERAL LESSEES.				
		Farms.		All land in farms.		
		Number.	Per cent of all farms in county.	Acreage.	Per cent of all farm land in county.	Average size of farms.
Total (11 states).....	325	39,073	3.3	12,929,417	14.4	331
Alabama.....	47	7,287	3.5	2,439,140	15.8	334
Arkansas.....	23	2,674	3.4	673,884	14.7	214
Florida.....	1	84	2.1	20,803	7.9	355
Georgia.....	70	6,627	3.9	2,100,219	14.7	316
Louisiana.....	29	2,480	3.3	1,499,254	28.1	604
Mississippi.....	45	7,960	3.9	2,400,607	20.2	301
North Carolina.....	21	1,775	2.2	508,150	8.5	320
South Carolina.....	35	5,105	3.3	1,718,318	15.5	336
Tennessee.....	11	1,413	2.8	244,583	7.7	173
Texas.....	41	3,408	2.1	1,201,772	7.6	346
Virginia.....	2	200	1.6	53,597	4.8	267

In turning to the acreage of land in plantations, it is found that it forms a much larger proportion of all land in farms in the counties considered. Thus in the 325 counties it represents 14.4 per cent of all land in farms. The variations here are much the same as the variations in the case of the number of farms, but range from three to five times as large. This is accounted for entirely by the fact that the plantations are much larger than the average for all farms in the plantation area.

The average size of plantation is 331 acres. Here again is found remarkable uniformity. Only in Louisiana, where the average runs to 604 acres, is there found any exceptional variation, and this is accounted for by the considerable acreage of unimproved land sometimes included.

In contrast to the farms operated by plantation owners, general lessees and managers, there is presented in Table 16 similar statistics for farm owners and managers other than those on plantations. For the same 325 counties there are 347,475 such farms, or 29.4 per cent of the total number in these counties. This is practically nine times as many as operated by plantation owners. Again there is found wonderful uniformity, with again exceptions in sections where there are considerable numbers of small land owning farmers such as in Florida.

Turning to the acreage of land in these farms, we find nearly 41,000,000 acres, or only about three times the acreage shown in Table 15. This again is accounted for by the fact that farms operated by their owners or managers other than plantation operators are only about one-third the size of the plantations, the average being only 118 acres. The same general uniformity maintains.

Table 16

STATE.	Number of counties.	FARMS OPERATED BY OTHER FARM OWNERS AND MANAGERS.				
		Farms.		All lands in farms.		
		Number.	Per cent of all farms in county.	Acreage.	Per cent of all farm land in county.	Average size of farms.
Total (11 states).....	325	347,475	29.4	40,982,970	45.6	118
Alabama.....	47	61,906	30.1	6,937,787	44.9	112
Arkansas.....	23	20,046	25.7	2,191,743	45.3	109
Florida.....	1	2,150	52.4	253,575	67.5	117
Georgia.....	70	37,943	22.2	4,975,721	35.1	131
Louisiana.....	29	21,681	29.6	2,153,186	40.4	99
Mississippi.....	45	39,948	19.4	4,572,348	38.1	111
North Carolina.....	21	34,065	42.8	4,409,088	65.5	129
South Carolina.....	35	43,536	28.9	4,829,965	43.6	111
Tennessee.....	11	10,509	33.7	1,661,575	52.3	100
Texas.....	41	64,429	39.9	8,412,825	53.2	130
Virginia.....	2	5,232	44.3	585,167	53.2	111

Turning now to the farms operated by tenants, we have presented in Table 17 statistics for tenants living on plantations, and find 398,905 of these, or 33.7 per cent of all farms in the 325 counties. The same general uniformity is here found from state to state. The number of farms operated by tenants living on plantations is ten times the number of plantations and is greater even than the number of farms operated independently by farm owners and managers.

In striking contrast, all of these tenants living on plantations occupy only a slightly larger total acreage than that occupied by one-tenth as many plantation owners, general lessees, and managers. In other words, the plantation owners or their representatives operate as much as 13,000,000 acres, while the tenants living on their plantations operate only about 15,000,000 acres. This again is accounted for by the small average size of farms operated by plantation tenants, the average size being only 39 acres, in contrast to 331 acres retained by the plantation owners or their representatives.

Table 17

STATE.	Number of counties.	FARMS OPERATED BY TENANTS ON PLANTATIONS.				
		Farms.		All land in farms.		
		Number.	Per cent of all farms in county.	Acreage.	Per cent of all farm land in county.	Average size of farms.
Total (11 states).....	325	398,905	33.7	15,367,398	17.1	39
Alabama.....	47	70,746	37.2	2,939,907	19.0	38
Arkansas.....	23	35,179	45.2	972,540	20.1	27
Florida.....	1	912	22.2	40,382	10.8	44
Georgia.....	70	57,003	33.6	3,118,926	21.9	54
Louisiana.....	29	29,654	40.5	742,596	13.9	25
Mississippi.....	45	99,432	49.7	2,899,543	24.0	28
North Carolina.....	21	13,548	17.0	692,950	8.9	43
South Carolina.....	35	43,624	29.0	1,740,907	15.7	39
Tennessee.....	11	12,074	24.6	445,879	14.0	36
Texas.....	41	29,180	18.0	1,770,206	11.2	60
Virginia.....	2	1,543	13.0	122,718	11.1	79

Referring now to tenants not on plantations, we find (Table 18) 375,010 such tenants, or 31.7 per cent of all farm operators in these counties. Thus the

independent tenant operators are almost equal in number to the plantation tenant operators, and are greater in number than all of the small farm owners and managers, being equal to all owners, managers, and general lessees.

These independent tenants who do not live on plantations operate much larger farms than the plantation tenants, the average farm being 57 acres, in contrast to 39 acres operated by plantation tenants. This difference is likely largely due to the fact that tenants on plantations rent almost exclusively improved land, while tenants not on plantations are likely to secure farms with considerable amounts of unimproved land.

Table 18

STATE.	Number of counties.	FARMS OPERATED BY TENANTS NOT ON PLANTATIONS.				
		Farms.		All land in farms.		
		Number.	Per cent of all farms in county.	Acreage.	Per cent of all farm land in county.	Average size of farms.
Total (11 states).....	325	375,010	31.7	21,559,411	23.9	57
Alabama.....	47	66,943	32.5	3,708,892	24.0	55
Arkansas.....	23	18,863	24.2	990,713	20.4	53
Florida.....	1	1,206	29.4	68,501	18.2	56
Georgia.....	70	60,764	35.9	3,739,055	26.3	62
Louisiana.....	29	15,381	21.0	760,004	14.4	40
Mississippi.....	45	40,857	20.4	1,844,345	15.3	45
North Carolina.....	21	31,934	40.1	1,841,786	27.7	57
South Carolina.....	35	55,020	37.0	2,852,500	25.7	51
Tennessee.....	11	20,039	40.9	957,506	30.1	42
Texas.....	41	58,021	36.3	4,453,506	28.2	75
Virginia.....	2	4,782	40.5	333,603	30.3	71

The four classes of farms which have been very carefully separated into groups as shown above—(1) Plantation owners, managers, and general lessees; (2) Other farm owners and managers; (3) Tenants on plantations; and (4) Tenants not on plantations—do not account for all farms and all land in farms. In other words, there is a small margin of error. In order to make the study complete, a search was made which shows 6,954 tenants on plantations representing six-tenths of 1 per cent of all farms in the counties. They operate 377,529 acres of land, or four-tenths of 1 per cent of all farm land in these counties. The average size of the farms for these tenants was 54 acres. The number found in this search was so small and influenced the total so little that they may

be disregarded in any study, but are presented in order to show the probable amount of error in this item. On account of the average size of the farms it is quite possible that these should be consolidated with the tenant farms not on plantations.

In many counties the totals presented above exceed the entire number of farms, and in other counties they fall somewhat short. The same is true of the acreage of land in farms. In Table 19, which follows, will be found a record of the excess or deficiency. In no state does the error amount to more than 6 per cent in the number of farms. These figures are presented so that any attempt to make a detailed study in which all land is to be accounted for may be made with all factors presented.

Table 19

STATE.	Number of counties.	FARMS OPERATED BY TENANTS ON PLANTATIONS (SUPPLEMENTAL).				
		Farms.		All land in farms.		
		Number.	Per cent of all farms in county.	Acreage.	Per cent of all farm land in county.	Average size of farms.
Total (11 states).....	325	6,954	0.6	377,529	0.4	54
Alabama.....	47	1,293	0.6	76,583	0.4	58
Arkansas.....	23	315	0.4	10,706	0.2	34
Florida.....	1	15	0.4	787	0.2	62
Georgia.....	70	1,527	0.8	97,379	0.6	63
Louisiana.....	29	228	0.3	6,604	0.1	29
Mississippi.....	45	810	0.4	27,343	0.2	33
North Carolina.....	21	580	0.7	30,343	0.5	62
South Carolina.....	35	1,293	0.8	65,203	0.5	50
Tennessee.....	11	420	0.8	22,457	0.7	53
Texas.....	41	423	0.2	30,704	0.1	72
Virginia.....	2	41	0.3	3,330	0.3	81
NOT ACCOUNTED FOR. ¹						
Alabama.....	47	-8,206	-0.3	-608,150	-4.3	81
Arkansas.....	23	672	0.8	-2,287	-0.04
Florida.....	1	-271	-0.01	-17,535	-4.6	64
Georgia.....	70	5,370	3.1	104,523	1.1	30
Louisiana.....	29	3,783	5.1	151,535	2.8	40
Mississippi.....	45	10,896	5.4	201,578	2.1	24
North Carolina.....	21	-2,332	-2.9	-821,848	12.4	352
South Carolina.....	35	1,121	0.7	-129,464	-1.1	115
Tennessee.....	11	-1,545	-3.1	-156,780	-4.8	101
Texas.....	41	5,191	6.0	-75,034	0.4
Virginia.....	2	3	0.02	880	0.1	293

¹ A minus sign (-) denotes deficiency.

In Table 20 a summary has been compiled presenting, by states, totals of all farms and farm land, with percentage distributions, to show the relative importance of the study, by states. The same table presents separately comparable data for each of the four chief classes of farm operators, by status.

SUMMARY, WITH PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTIONS, FOR ALL FARMS AND FARM LAND IN 325 SELECTED COUNTIES IN ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES, BY STATUS OF OPERATORS: 1910.

Table 20

STATE.	Number of counties.	ALL FARMS AND ALL LAND IN FARMS IN 325 COUNTIES IN 11 STATES.					FARMS OPERATED BY PLANTATION OWNERS, MANAGERS, AND GENERAL LESSEES.			
		All farms.		All land in farms.			Farms.		All land in farms.	
		Number.	Per cent of total.	Acreage.	Per cent of total.	Average acreage per farm.	Number.	Per cent of total.	Acreage.	Per cent of total.
Total (11 states).....	325	1,182,099	100.0	89,923,619	100.0	76.1	39,073	100.0	12,929,417	100.0
Alabama.....	47	205,909	17.4	15,434,150	17.2	74.9	7,287	18.7	2,439,140	18.9
Arkansas.....	23	77,749	6.6	4,837,379	5.4	62.2	2,674	6.8	673,884	5.2
Florida.....	1	4,096	0.4	375,603	0.4	91.7	84	0.2	28,893	0.2
Georgia.....	70	169,234	14.3	14,195,823	15.8	83.9	6,627	17.0	2,100,219	16.2
Louisiana.....	29	73,207	6.2	5,822,160	6.5	72.7	2,480	6.3	1,499,254	11.6
Mississippi.....	45	109,903	10.9	11,986,264	13.3	60.0	7,960	20.4	2,400,607	18.6
North Carolina.....	21	79,609	6.7	6,626,469	7.4	83.2	1,775	4.5	568,150	4.4
South Carolina.....	35	159,299	12.7	11,077,189	12.3	73.7	5,105	13.1	1,718,318	13.3
Tennessee.....	11	48,910	4.1	3,175,211	3.5	64.9	1,413	3.6	244,583	1.9
Texas.....	41	181,322	13.7	15,794,068	17.6	97.9	3,468	8.9	1,201,772	9.3
Virginia.....	2	11,801	1.0	1,699,285	1.2	93.2	200	0.5	53,597	0.4

SUMMARY, WITH PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTIONS, FOR ALL FARMS AND FARM LAND IN 325 SELECTED COUNTIES IN ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES, BY STATUS OF OPERATORS: 1910—Continued.

Table 20—Continued.

STATE.	Number of counties.	FARMS OPERATED BY OTHER FARM OWNERS AND MANAGERS.				FARMS OPERATED BY TENANTS ON PLANTATIONS.				FARMS OPERATED BY TENANTS NOT ON PLANTATIONS.			
		Farms.		All land in farms.		Farms.		All land in farms.		Farms.		All land in farms.	
		Number.	Per cent of total.	Acreage.	Per cent of total.	Number.	Per cent of total.	Acreage.	Per cent of total.	Number.	Per cent of total.	Acreage.	Per cent of total.
Total (11 states).....	325	347,475	100.0	40,982,970	100.0	398,905	100.0	15,367,398	100.0	375,010	100.0	21,559,411	100.0
Alabama.....	47	61,906	17.8	6,937,787	16.9	70,746	19.2	2,939,907	19.1	66,943	17.9	3,708,892	17.2
Arkansas.....	23	20,046	5.8	2,191,743	5.3	35,179	8.8	972,540	6.3	18,863	5.0	990,713	4.6
Florida.....	1	2,150	0.6	253,575	0.6	912	0.2	40,382	0.3	1,206	0.3	68,501	0.3
Georgia.....	70	37,943	10.9	4,975,721	12.1	57,003	14.3	3,118,920	20.3	60,764	16.2	3,739,055	17.3
Louisiana.....	29	21,081	6.3	2,153,136	5.3	29,654	7.5	742,586	4.8	15,381	4.1	769,004	3.6
Mississippi.....	45	39,943	11.5	4,572,348	11.2	99,432	24.9	2,880,548	18.8	40,857	10.9	1,844,345	8.6
North Carolina.....	21	34,095	9.8	4,409,088	10.8	13,548	3.4	592,950	3.9	31,934	8.5	1,841,786	8.6
South Carolina.....	35	43,536	12.5	4,829,965	11.8	43,624	11.0	1,740,667	11.3	55,620	14.8	2,852,600	13.2
Tennessee.....	11	16,509	4.8	1,061,575	4.1	12,074	3.0	445,879	2.9	20,039	5.4	957,506	4.4
Texas.....	41	64,429	18.5	8,412,825	20.5	20,100	7.3	1,770,295	11.5	53,021	15.0	4,453,506	20.7
Virginia.....	2	5,232	1.5	585,157	1.4	1,543	0.4	122,718	0.8	4,782	1.3	333,603	1.6

From the standpoint of this study, the states included are not of equal importance, due to the fact that the tenant plantation system is largely concentrated in certain sections of certain states. According to Table 20, three-fourths of all farms considered (75 per cent) were in five states—Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, South Carolina, and Texas. An additional fifth (19.5 per cent) was in Arkansas, Louisiana, and North Carolina. Almost exactly the same percentages are presented when land in farms is used as a basis of measurement, 94.5 per cent of all farms considered being in these eight states and 94.9 per cent of all land in farms being in the same.

The same general importance is found in each state in considering the relative importance of the several classes of farmers. Thus 17.4 per cent of all farms included are in Alabama, and 17.2 per cent of all farm land. So, too, 18.7 per cent of farms operated by plantation owners, managers, and general lessees, and 18.9 per cent of all land included, are in Alabama. Referring to other farm owners and managers, the table shows 17.8 per cent of the total number and 16.9 per cent of the land. In the case of plantation tenants, 19.2 per cent of the total number and 19.1 per cent of the land operated by them are in Alabama. Referring to tenants not on plantations, the corresponding percentages are 17.9 and 17.2.

EXTENT OF WAGE-LABOR PLANTATION SYSTEM.

There are many students as well as statesmen and men of affairs who do not associate the plantation system in agriculture with tenancy. In some sections of the United States where there is little tenancy there are very large farms operated by wage laborers. In other sections some large farms are operated with wage laborers and others in the same neighborhood are divided into smaller units, each of which is operated by a tenant. While funds did not permit the Census Bureau to make a study of the extent of the tenant plantations except as shown for the 325 southern counties, an investigation pertaining to wage labor plantations has been made for the entire United States.

In securing the statistics presented below with reference to the operation of farms by wage laborers in contrast to the system of operating farms by tenant labor, each farmer in the United States was asked in 1909 to answer this specific question: "Please state amount spent in cash in 1909 for farm labor (exclusive of housework) \$——." He was further asked to give an estimate of the house rent and board furnished farm laborers in 1909 in addition to the cash wages paid. It was from the replies to these inquiries that the special tabulations herewith presented were made.

Funds were not available to make as comprehensive a tabulation as would be useful in any complete investigation as to the increase of the wage labor system on farms or the increase of tenant systems, nor are data sufficiently complete to measure or satisfactorily locate the movements in either direction in different sections of the country.

Table 21 (p. 32) shows the extent to which farmers in the United States as a whole, in the several geographic divisions, and in the individual states employ labor to assist them in their farm operations.

Of the 6,361,502 farm operators in the United States, 2,922,279, or 45.9 per cent, reported the employment of wage laborers in 1909. The proportion was higher where tenancy was the lowest, and vice versa. In other words, in some sections farm owners arrange for the work on their farms by adopting the tenant system while in others they hire laborers as needed. A better measure of the importance of the wage-labor system as a substitute for tenancy is presented in Table 22 (p. 32), which shows for the United States as a whole, the geographic divisions, and the individual states the average amount expended in 1909 per farm reporting and the average amount expended

in 1909 and in 1899 per acre of all land in farms and all improved land in farms.

While the average expenditure per farm reporting was \$277 in the New England states and \$253 in the Middle Atlantic states where tenancy is low, the average was only \$107 in the East South Central states where tenancy is high. Other groups of states would serve as well as illustrations. The average expenditure per acre of all land in farms increased materially in each division during the decade and in 1909 ranged from \$1.81 in the Middle Atlantic division to 35 cents in the West South Central division. The expenditure per acre of improved land in farms increased in much the same way during the decade and the range in 1909 was from \$4.76 in the New England division to 80 cents in the East South Central division.

WAGE-LABOR PLANTATIONS.

Tables 21 and 22 (p. 32) have to do with all farms. Just as a special study was made to separate out the larger plantation units—those operated by five or more tenants—so, too, tables have been compiled to show the large number of wage laborers employed. Table 23 (p. 33) shows the number of farms on which \$1,000 or more was expended in 1909 for wage laborers. It is believed that these are nearly comparable with tenant plantations operated by five or more tenants. Statistics are given for the United States as a whole, the geographic divisions, and the individual states.

For the United States as a whole only 76,751 farms fall into this class. This is only 2.63 per cent of all farms which report the employment of any labor. On the other hand, the total amount expended by the operators of these large farms was almost \$208,000,000, or one-third of the amount expended by all farmers for wage labor. While the average amount expended when all farms reporting are included was \$223, the average for these large farms was \$2,710. Assuming that the average wage per man employed throughout was \$271, this represents the constant employment of 10 men or the equivalent, and again is comparable to the average number of tenants on plantations divided into five or more units for purposes of operation. It is also clear from this table that the largest number of wage labor plantations is found where the smallest number of tenant plantations exists.

While no report has been published showing the number of tenant plantations where the plantations have been divided into 2, 3, or 4 units for operation, it has seemed wise to present Table 24 which would correspond to a study comparing wage-labor plantations with tenant plantations. Table 24 (p. 33) presents for the United States as a whole, the geographic divisions,

and the individual states, the number of farms reporting an expenditure of \$500 and less than \$1,000 for wage laborers in 1909. The table also shows the amount expended together with the average per farm.

While there were 76,751 farms in the United States which reported an expenditure of \$1,000 or more for wage labor in 1909, there were 138,976 which reported an expenditure of \$500 but less than \$1,000 for wage labor during the same year. These farms represent 4.76 per cent of all farms reporting any expenditure and the amount expended by them was more than \$106,000,000, or nearly one-sixth of the entire amount expended in 1909 for wage labor on farms. The average amount expended was \$765 per farm. Here again the largest proportion will be found in the states which have the smallest percentage of tenancy. In the northern and western geographic divisions the proportion varies from 4.37 per cent to 13.65 per cent, while in the three southern geographic divisions it ranges from 1.95 per cent to 2.90 per cent.

It would seem that farms reporting an expenditure of \$500 or more for wage labor in 1909 might be referred to as real "business units," and in order to show the extent to which farmers have undertaken the expenditure of large sums in the employment of wage labor, the two classes referred to above have been consolidated and the results presented in Table 25 (p. 34).

A total of 215,727 farms reported an expenditure of \$500 or more for the employment of wage labor in 1909. This is only 7.38 per cent of all farms reporting any expenditure for labor, or again is only about one out of each thirty farms in the United States. In spite of this fact, this small number of large farms reports a total expenditure of more than \$314,000,000, or almost one-half of the total amount expended by all farmers for this purpose in 1909. The average amount expended per farm for this double group was \$1,457. The same striking contrast is here found—i. e., the largest numbers of farms reporting large amounts expended for wage labor are in the North and West, and the smallest number as well as the smallest average expenditure is in the South.

WAGE LABOR ON SMALL FARMS.

Eliminating now these large business units, there are presented in Table 26 (p. 34) data with reference to all other farms reporting the employment of wage labor in 1909.

The total number of farms reporting the employment of wage labor in 1909 where the expenditure was less than \$500 was 2,706,552, or 92.61 per cent of all farms reporting. These farms reported an expenditure of about \$337,000,000, or an average of \$125 per

farm. Thus nearly 93 per cent of all farms employing wage labor expended only about one-half of the total amount expended. In contrast to the large farm units those reporting small expenditures are very well distributed over the entire country.

ALL FARMS EMPLOYING WAGE LABOR.

Table 27 (p. 35) presents the consolidation of the preceding tables, giving the number of farms reporting expenditures for labor in 1909, the proportion which these form of all farms, the total amount expended, and the average amount per farm.

Because of the proportion of very large farms operated with wage labor in the Northern and Western states, this total shows high percentages for this section and low percentages for the Southern states. It also shows high expenditures per farm for the Northern and Western states and low expenditures for the Southern states.

EXPENDITURES FOR WAGE LABOR, 1909 AND 1899.

In Table 28 (p. 35) data are presented showing the total amounts expended in 1909 and in 1899, together with amounts and percentages of increase.

From an inspection of this table it will be found that the South Atlantic, East South Central, and West South Central divisions show larger percentages of increase in amount expended for wage labor than do the New England, Middle Atlantic, and East North Central divisions. In other words, while the largest number of large farms operated by wage labor and the largest amounts expended are found in the Northern and Western states, the southern groups show larger percentages of increase during the decade than do the Northern and Eastern states. The Western states generally show large increases.

WAGE-LABOR PLANTATIONS IN ELEVEN SOUTHERN STATES.

All of the tables presented deal with the United States as a whole, the geographic divisions generally recognized, and the individual states arranged in groups according to geographic divisions. Table 29 (p. 36) is given herewith presenting corresponding figures for all farms in eleven Southern states.

While 45.9 per cent of all farms in the United States reported the employment of labor in 1909, only 35.6 per cent of all farms in the eleven Southern states under consideration reported the employment of labor. The average amount expended per farm reporting was \$223 for all farms in the United States, but only \$141

for the eleven Southern states. The average amount expended per acre for the Southern states is similarly far below the average for the country as a whole.

Referring again to the United States as a whole, 76,751 farms, or 2.63 per cent of all farms reporting expenditures for wage labor, reported expenditures of \$1,000 or more, while in the eleven Southern states only 14,584 farms, or 1.64 per cent, reported. While the proportion reporting is very much lower, the average amount reported differs little. This is partly accounted for by the presence of sugar plantations in Louisiana, but plantations generally where operated by wage labor show this result. The same general statement may be made with reference to farms reporting an expenditure of \$500 and less than \$1,000. For the United States as a whole, 4.76 per cent of all farms fall into this class, while in the eleven Southern states only 2.47 per cent are included. Combining now the two groups—those which expend \$500 but less than \$1,000, and those which expend \$1,000 or more—we find 7.38 per cent for the country as a whole, but only 4.12 per cent in the southern group of states.

If the large number of large farms expending large amounts for wage labor are to be found in the North and West, it naturally follows that the larger number of farms reporting the expenditure of less than \$500 would be found in the South. The tables presented above show that whereas 92.61 per cent of all farms in the United States reporting the employment of wage labor expended less than \$500. In the eleven Southern states included in this list the percentage was 95.88. A much greater difference is found in the amount expended per farm. Whereas for the United States as a whole the average for this group was \$125, it was only \$79 in the eleven Southern states under consideration.

Attention was called to the fact that during the 10-year period (1899–1909) there was an increase of 82.3 per cent in the total amount expended by farmers for wage labor. While the greatest increases were in the states west of the Mississippi River, they were greater in the Southern states than in the Northern states, showing a gradual increase in either the wages of laborers employed or in the number employed. Whereas the increase in the total amount expended was 54.6 per cent in the Middle Atlantic states, 66.4 per cent in the New England states, 74.5 per cent in the East North Central states, and 79.4 per cent in the West North Central states, the increase for the 11 Southern states under consideration was 86.2 per cent.

PLANTATION FARMING IN THE UNITED STATES.

TOTAL NUMBER OF FARMS AND NUMBER OF FARMERS REPORTING THE EMPLOYMENT OF LABOR, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909.

DIVISION AND STATE.	Total number of farms.	FARMERS REPORTING THE EMPLOYMENT OF LABOR IN 1909.		DIVISION AND STATE.	Total number of farms.	FARMERS REPORTING THE EMPLOYMENT OF LABOR IN 1909.	
		Number.	Per cent of total.			Number.	Per cent of total.
UNITED STATES.....	6,361,502	2,922,279	45.9	SOUTH ATLANTIC:			
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:				Delaware.....	10,836	6,841	63.1
New England.....	188,802	124,619	66.0	Maryland.....	48,923	31,982	65.4
Middle Atlantic.....	408,379	308,050	75.8	District of Columbia.....	217	146	67.3
East North Central.....	1,123,489	592,304	52.7	Virginia.....	184,018	84,636	46.0
West North Central.....	1,100,948	566,347	51.0	West Virginia.....	96,685	43,871	45.4
South Atlantic.....	1,111,881	469,370	42.2	North Carolina.....	253,725	97,461	38.4
East South Central.....	1,042,480	329,583	31.6	South Carolina.....	176,434	69,491	39.4
West South Central.....	943,186	336,034	35.6	Georgia.....	201,027	113,782	56.6
Mountain.....	183,440	85,831	46.8	Florida.....	50,016	21,160	42.3
Pacific.....	189,801	110,081	58.0	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:			
NEW ENGLAND:				Kentucky.....	259,185	91,642	35.4
Maine.....	60,016	37,190	62.0	Tennessee.....	246,012	88,070	36.0
New Hampshire.....	27,053	17,385	64.3	Alabama.....	262,901	83,043	31.6
Vermont.....	32,709	21,810	66.7	Mississippi.....	274,382	65,628	23.9
Massachusetts.....	36,917	26,758	72.5	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:			
Rhode Island.....	5,292	3,521	66.5	Arkansas.....	214,678	70,638	32.9
Connecticut.....	26,815	17,955	67.0	Louisiana.....	120,546	31,732	26.3
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:				Oklahoma.....	190,192	73,126	38.4
New York.....	215,597	145,095	67.3	Texas.....	417,770	160,538	38.4
New Jersey.....	33,487	23,448	70.0	MOUNTAIN:			
Pennsylvania.....	219,295	139,507	63.6	Montana.....	26,214	12,482	47.6
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:				Idaho.....	30,507	15,715	51.0
Ohio.....	272,045	145,515	53.5	Wyoming.....	10,987	5,450	49.6
Indiana.....	215,485	103,947	48.2	Colorado.....	46,170	22,170	48.0
Illinois.....	251,872	139,941	55.6	New Mexico.....	35,676	13,124	36.8
Michigan.....	206,960	111,842	54.0	Arizona.....	9,227	3,300	35.8
Wisconsin.....	177,127	91,119	51.4	Utah.....	21,678	11,805	54.5
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:				Nevada.....	2,689	1,776	66.0
Minnesota.....	156,137	94,934	60.8	PACIFIC:			
Iowa.....	217,044	108,890	50.2	Washington.....	56,192	29,965	53.3
Missouri.....	277,244	120,714	43.5	Oregon.....	45,602	24,229	53.2
North Dakota.....	74,360	45,775	61.6	California.....	88,197	55,887	63.4
South Dakota.....	77,044	41,180	53.0				
Nebraska.....	129,678	62,665	48.3				
Kansas.....	177,841	92,189	51.8				

AVERAGE EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR PER FARM AND PER ACRE OF ALL LAND AND OF IMPROVED LAND IN FARMS, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909 AND 1899.

DIVISION AND STATE.	EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR.					DIVISION AND STATE.	EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR.				
	Average per farm reporting, 1909.	Average per acre.					Average per farm reporting, 1909.	Average per acre.			
		All land in farms.		Improved land in farms.				All land in farms.		Improved land in farms.	
		1909	1899	1909	1899			1909	1899	1909	1899
UNITED STATES.....	\$223	\$0.74	\$0.43	\$1.36	\$0.86	SOUTH ATLANTIC:					
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:						Delaware.....	\$236	\$1.55	\$1.01	\$2.36	\$1.43
New England.....	277	1.75	1.01	4.76	2.55	Maryland.....	275	1.74	1.11	2.62	1.63
Middle Atlantic.....	253	1.81	1.13	2.66	1.64	District of Columbia.....	1,636	39.39	23.26	46.53	33.27
East North Central.....	199	1.00	0.58	1.33	0.78	Virginia.....	158	0.68	0.39	1.35	0.77
West North Central.....	240	0.58	0.38	0.83	0.56	West Virginia.....	92	0.40	0.19	0.73	0.37
South Atlantic.....	142	0.64	0.36	1.37	0.80	North Carolina.....	95	0.41	0.21	1.05	0.65
East South Central.....	107	0.43	0.24	0.80	0.49	South Carolina.....	155	0.80	0.44	1.77	1.06
West South Central.....	178	0.35	0.17	1.03	0.75	Georgia.....	116	0.49	0.27	1.07	0.68
Mountain.....	547	0.79	0.44	2.95	2.42	Florida.....	253	1.02	0.34	2.97	0.97
Pacific.....	694	1.49	0.76	3.47	1.92	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:					
NEW ENGLAND:						Kentucky.....	134	0.55	0.30	0.85	0.48
Maine.....	151	0.89	0.42	2.39	1.12	Tennessee.....	95	0.42	0.23	0.78	0.46
New Hampshire.....	194	1.04	0.64	3.63	2.14	Alabama.....	89	0.36	0.21	0.77	0.50
Vermont.....	218	1.02	0.66	2.91	1.47	Mississippi.....	109	0.39	0.21	0.80	0.52
Massachusetts.....	452	4.21	2.38	10.39	5.79	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:					
Rhode Island.....	500	3.97	2.27	9.88	5.51	Arkansas.....	108	0.44	0.19	0.95	0.46
Connecticut.....	383	3.15	1.77	6.96	2.85	Louisiana.....	526	1.60	0.97	3.17	2.29
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:						Oklahoma.....	135	0.34	0.16	0.56	0.43
New York.....	285	1.88	1.20	2.78	1.74	Texas.....	161	0.23	0.10	0.94	0.63
New Jersey.....	473	4.31	2.37	6.15	3.40	MOUNTAIN:					
Pennsylvania.....	184	1.38	0.86	2.02	1.26	Montana.....	876	0.81	0.43	3.00	2.92
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:						Idaho.....	426	1.27	0.70	2.41	1.59
Ohio.....	176	1.00	0.59	1.33	0.75	Wyoming.....	1,133	0.72	0.32	4.92	3.30
Indiana.....	170	0.83	0.45	1.04	0.68	Colorado.....	488	0.80	0.43	2.51	1.80
Illinois.....	259	1.12	0.68	1.29	0.80	New Mexico.....	278	0.32	0.38	2.48	5.97
Michigan.....	170	1.01	0.61	1.49	0.91	Arizona.....	759	2.01	0.60	7.15	4.53
Wisconsin.....	211	0.91	0.53	1.61	0.93	Utah.....	209	0.93	0.45	2.32	1.78
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:						Nevada.....	1,686	1.10	0.54	3.98	2.42
Minnesota.....	235	0.81	0.63	1.14	0.90	PACIFIC:					
Iowa.....	228	0.73	0.47	0.84	0.55	Washington.....	513	1.31	0.62	2.41	1.52
Missouri.....	154	0.54	0.20	0.76	0.43	Oregon.....	458	0.95	0.48	2.60	1.46
North Dakota.....	475	0.76	0.59	1.06	0.95	California.....	894	1.79	0.90	4.39	2.10
South Dakota.....	312	0.49	0.29	0.81	0.49						
Nebraska.....	240	0.39	0.25	0.62	0.40						
Kansas.....	223	0.47	0.26	0.69	0.43						

EXTENT OF WAGE-LABOR PLANTATION SYSTEM.

33

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$1,000 AND OVER FOR LABOR, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909.

Table 23

DIVISION AND STATE.	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$1,000 AND OVER.				DIVISION AND STATE.	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$1,000 AND OVER.			
	Farms reporting.		Amount expended.			Farms reporting.		Amount expended.	
	Number.	Per cent of all re- porting.	Total.	Average per farm.		Number.	Percent of all re- porting.	Total.	Average per farm.
UNITED STATES.....	76,751	2.63	\$207,990,960	\$2,710	SOUTH ATLANTIC:				
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:					Delaware.....	147	2.15	\$284,018	\$1,938
New England.....	4,959	3.98	13,301,669	2,682	Maryland.....	1,087	3.40	2,287,897	2,058
Middle Atlantic.....	8,468	2.75	22,231,334	2,625	District of Columbia.....	32	21.92	186,734	5,835
East North Central.....	7,953	1.34	17,007,149	2,138	Virginia.....	1,438	1.70	3,429,917	2,885
West North Central.....	12,495	2.21	25,675,040	2,055	West Virginia.....	272	0.02	560,377	2,060
South Atlantic.....	8,140	1.73	18,303,788	2,249	North Carolina.....	926	0.95	1,886,460	2,037
East South Central.....	3,287	1.00	7,434,176	2,262	South Carolina.....	1,661	2.39	3,654,373	2,200
West South Central.....	6,616	1.97	23,869,493	3,608	Georgia.....	1,543	1.36	3,251,754	2,107
Mountain.....	8,002	10.02	28,904,473	3,367	Florida.....	1,034	4.80	2,811,859	2,719
Pacific.....	16,231	14.74	51,203,838	3,155	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
NEW ENGLAND:					Kentucky.....	1,303	1.42	2,606,046	2,000
Maine.....	491	1.32	945,387	1,925	Tennessee.....	628	0.71	1,262,089	2,010
New Hampshire.....	397	2.28	914,523	2,304	Alabama.....	671	0.80	1,478,250	2,203
Vermont.....	350	1.80	846,348	2,418	Mississippi.....	685	1.04	2,087,791	3,048
Massachusetts.....	2,274	8.50	6,547,757	2,870	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
Rhode Island.....	328	9.32	1,076,909	3,283	Arkansas.....	783	1.11	1,979,162	2,528
Connecticut.....	1,119	6.23	2,970,745	2,655	Louisiana.....	2,230	7.03	18,023,029	5,843
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:					Oklahoma.....	618	0.85	1,290,002	2,102
New York.....	4,750	3.27	12,410,943	2,613	Texas.....	2,985	1.80	7,662,300	2,533
New Jersey.....	1,612	6.87	4,507,487	2,796	MOUNTAIN:				
Pennsylvania.....	2,106	1.51	5,312,004	2,523	Montana.....	2,065	16.54	7,534,908	3,640
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:					Idaho.....	1,253	7.97	3,370,446	2,690
Ohio.....	1,955	1.34	4,375,278	2,238	Wyoming.....	957	17.66	4,789,900	5,005
Indiana.....	1,204	1.16	2,320,562	1,927	Colorado.....	2,265	10.21	5,422,100	2,394
Illinois.....	2,624	1.87	5,671,492	2,161	New Mexico.....	521	3.97	2,047,772	3,930
Michigan.....	1,301	1.16	2,805,655	2,157	Arizona.....	481	14.58	1,819,489	3,783
Wisconsin.....	899	0.95	1,834,162	2,111	Utah.....	606	4.28	1,404,327	2,300
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:					Nevada.....	555	31.25	2,515,470	4,532
Minnesota.....	1,296	1.37	2,938,515	2,287	PACIFIC:				
Iowa.....	1,372	1.26	2,552,582	1,860	Washington.....	3,393	11.32	8,542,214	2,518
Missouri.....	1,489	1.23	3,103,067	2,085	Oregon.....	2,305	9.51	6,281,389	2,725
North Dakota.....	3,015	8.55	8,030,616	2,653	California.....	10,533	18.85	36,380,235	3,453
South Dakota.....	1,304	3.17	2,494,305	1,913					
Nebraska.....	1,219	1.95	2,761,323	2,265					
Kansas.....	1,900	2.06	3,787,732	1,994					

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND LESS THAN \$1,000 FOR LABOR, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909.

Table 24

DIVISION AND STATE.	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND LESS THAN \$1,000.				DIVISION AND STATE.	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND LESS THAN \$1,000.			
	Farms reporting.		Amount expended.			Farms reporting.		Amount expended.	
	Number.	Per cent of all report- ing.	Total.	Aver- age per farm.		Number.	Per cent of all report- ing.	Total.	Aver- age per farm.
UNITED STATES.....	138,976	4.76	\$106,269,077	\$765	SOUTH ATLANTIC:				
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:					Delaware.....	420	6.14	\$332,600	\$792
New England.....	7,761	6.23	6,150,510	792	Maryland.....	2,223	6.95	1,809,201	814
Middle Atlantic.....	17,003	5.52	13,771,577	810	District of Columbia.....	20	10.86	24,342	839
East North Central.....	25,904	4.37	19,571,591	756	Virginia.....	2,829	3.34	2,078,540	735
West North Central.....	34,624	6.11	26,935,048	778	West Virginia.....	610	1.39	434,769	713
South Atlantic.....	13,490	2.87	9,747,454	723	North Carolina.....	1,655	1.70	1,132,394	684
East South Central.....	6,428	1.95	4,605,102	710	South Carolina.....	2,221	3.20	1,543,688	695
West South Central.....	9,734	2.90	6,729,406	691	Georgia.....	2,402	2.11	1,877,152	698
Mountain.....	9,001	10.49	7,153,909	795	Florida.....	1,101	5.20	714,828	649
Pacific.....	16,031	13.65	11,600,820	772	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
NEW ENGLAND:					Kentucky.....	2,716	2.96	1,959,492	721
Maine.....	1,251	3.36	947,322	758	Tennessee.....	1,520	1.71	1,053,144	693
New Hampshire.....	757	4.35	582,457	769	Alabama.....	1,178	1.41	851,329	723
Vermont.....	1,080	4.95	876,264	811	Mississippi.....	1,014	1.54	741,137	731
Massachusetts.....	2,668	9.97	2,157,150	809	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
Rhode Island.....	371	10.54	296,261	799	Arkansas.....	1,068	1.51	742,504	695
Connecticut.....	1,634	9.10	1,200,556	790	Louisiana.....	1,418	4.47	1,013,779	715
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:					Oklahoma.....	1,693	2.32	1,218,914	720
New York.....	9,451	6.51	7,604,118	805	Texas.....	5,555	8.46	3,754,260	676
New Jersey.....	2,731	11.65	2,321,076	850	MOUNTAIN:				
Pennsylvania.....	4,821	3.46	3,845,783	798	Montana.....	1,754	14.05	1,492,431	851
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:					Idaho.....	1,606	10.22	1,206,928	752
Ohio.....	5,107	3.51	3,779,715	740	Wyoming.....	714	13.10	629,157	881
Indiana.....	3,805	3.66	2,767,809	727	Colorado.....	2,948	13.29	2,330,841	791
Illinois.....	9,278	6.63	7,171,509	773	New Mexico.....	579	4.41	432,208	746
Michigan.....	3,828	3.42	2,866,875	749	Arizona.....	380	10.91	287,814	798
Wisconsin.....	3,886	4.26	2,985,683	768	Utah.....	690	5.84	478,384	693
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:					Nevada.....	350	19.71	299,651	856
Minnesota.....	4,019	5.18	3,985,114	810	PACIFIC:				
Iowa.....	6,016	5.52	4,542,947	755	Washington.....	3,791	12.65	2,960,557	781
Missouri.....	3,982	3.30	2,929,735	736	Oregon.....	2,493	10.29	1,945,743	780
North Dakota.....	7,434	10.24	5,949,763	800	California.....	8,747	15.65	6,694,520	765
South Dakota.....	3,801	9.23	3,035,724	799					
Nebraska.....	3,273	5.22	2,574,741	787					
Kansas.....	5,199	5.64	3,917,624	754					

PLANTATION FARMING IN THE UNITED STATES.

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND OVER FOR LABOR, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909.

Table 25	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND OVER.				FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND OVER.				
	Farms reporting.		Amount expended.		Farms reporting.		Amount expended.		
	Number.	Per cent of all reporting.	Total.	Average per farm.	Number.	Per cent of all reporting.	Total.	Average per farm.	
DIVISION AND STATE.					DIVISION AND STATE.				
UNITED STATES.....	215,727	7.38	\$314,260,037	\$1,457	SOUTH ATLANTIC:				
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:					Delaware.....	567	8.29	\$617,518	\$1,089
New England.....	12,720	10.21	19,452,179	1,529	Maryland.....	3,310	10.35	4,046,598	1,223
Middle Atlantic.....	25,471	8.27	36,002,911	1,413	District of Columbia.....	61	41.78	211,076	3,460
East North Central.....	33,857	5.72	36,578,740	1,080	Virginia.....	4,267	5.04	5,508,457	1,291
West North Central.....	47,119	8.32	52,610,688	1,117	West Virginia.....	882	2.01	995,086	1,128
South Atlantic.....	21,630	4.61	28,051,242	1,297	North Carolina.....	2,581	2.65	3,018,853	1,169
East South Central.....	9,715	2.95	12,039,278	1,238	South Carolina.....	3,882	5.59	5,198,061	1,339
West South Central.....	16,350	4.87	30,598,959	1,871	Georgia.....	3,945	3.47	4,928,906	1,249
Mountain.....	17,603	20.61	36,121,382	2,052	Florida.....	2,135	10.09	3,526,687	1,652
Pacific.....	31,262	28.39	62,804,658	2,009	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
NEW ENGLAND:					Kentucky.....	4,019	4.39	4,565,538	1,136
Maine.....	1,742	4.08	1,893,209	1,087	Tennessee.....	2,148	2.42	2,315,233	1,078
New Hampshire.....	1,154	6.04	1,496,980	1,287	Alabama.....	1,849	2.21	2,329,579	1,269
Vermont.....	1,430	6.66	1,722,612	1,205	Mississippi.....	1,699	2.59	2,828,928	1,605
Massachusetts.....	4,942	18.47	8,704,907	1,781	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
Rhode Island.....	699	19.85	1,375,170	1,964	Arkansas.....	1,851	2.62	2,721,666	1,470
Connecticut.....	2,753	15.83	4,261,301	1,548	Louisiana.....	3,648	11.50	14,042,808	3,840
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:					Oklahoma.....	2,311	3.16	2,517,916	1,090
New York.....	14,201	9.79	20,015,061	1,409	Texas.....	8,540	5.32	11,316,569	1,325
New Jersey.....	4,343	18.52	6,829,163	1,572	MOUNTAIN:				
Pennsylvania.....	6,927	4.97	9,158,687	1,322	Montana.....	3,819	30.59	9,027,334	2,364
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:					Idaho.....	2,859	18.19	4,577,860	1,601
Ohio.....	7,062	4.85	8,154,993	1,155	Wyoming.....	1,671	30.66	5,419,057	3,243
Indiana.....	5,009	4.82	5,088,371	1,016	Colorado.....	5,213	23.50	7,753,001	1,487
Illinois.....	11,902	8.51	12,843,001	1,079	New Mexico.....	1,100	8.38	2,479,980	2,255
Michigan.....	5,129	4.59	5,872,530	1,106	Arizona.....	841	25.48	2,106,303	2,505
Wisconsin.....	4,755	5.22	4,819,845	1,014	Utah.....	1,195	10.12	1,942,711	1,626
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:					Nevada.....	905	50.96	2,815,127	3,111
Minnesota.....	6,215	6.55	6,923,629	1,114	PACIFIC:				
Iowa.....	7,388	6.78	7,095,529	960	Washington.....	7,184	23.97	11,502,771	1,601
Missouri.....	5,471	4.53	6,033,702	1,103	Oregon.....	4,798	19.80	8,227,132	1,715
North Dakota.....	11,349	24.79	13,986,879	1,232	California.....	19,280	34.50	43,074,755	2,234
South Dakota.....	5,105	12.40	5,530,029	1,083					
Nebraska.....	4,492	7.17	5,339,064	1,188					
Kansas.....	7,099	7.70	7,705,856	1,085					

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF LESS THAN \$500 FOR LABOR, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909.

Table 26		FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF LESS THAN \$500.						FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF LESS THAN \$500.			
DIVISION AND STATE.		Farms reporting.		Amount expended.		DIVISION AND STATE.		Farms reporting.		Amount expended.	
		Number.	Per cent of all reporting.	Total.	Average per farm.			Number.	Per cent of all reporting.	Total.	Average per farm.
UNITED STATES.....		2,706,552	92.61	\$337,351,250	\$125	SOUTH ATLANTIC:					
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:						Delaware.....		6,274	91.71	\$994,953	\$159
New England.....		111,899	89.79	15,048,228	134	Maryland.....		28,672	89.65	4,755,574	166
Middle Atlantic.....		282,579	91.73	42,018,668	149	District of Columbia.....		85	53.22	27,757	327
East North Central.....		558,507	94.28	81,301,455	146	Virginia.....		80,369	94.96	7,845,737	98
West North Central.....		519,228	91.68	83,313,546	160	West Virginia.....		42,989	97.99	3,040,678	71
South Atlantic.....		447,740	95.39	38,556,003	86	North Carolina.....		94,880	97.35	6,201,711	65
East South Central.....		319,568	97.05	23,269,605	73	South Carolina.....		65,609	94.41	5,572,697	85
West South Central.....		319,684	95.13	29,381,779	92	Georgia.....		109,837	96.53	8,289,207	75
Mountain.....		68,228	79.49	10,817,630	159	Florida.....		19,025	89.91	1,827,639	96
Pacific.....		78,819	71.58	13,644,336	173	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:					
NEW ENGLAND:						Kentucky.....		87,623	95.61	7,078,313	88
Maine.....		35,448	95.31	3,739,897	106	Tennessee.....		86,522	97.58	6,132,826	71
New Hampshire.....		16,231	93.36	1,877,146	116	Alabama.....		81,794	97.79	5,125,169	63
Vermont.....		20,380	93.44	3,025,361	148	Mississippi.....		63,929	97.41	4,333,297	68
Massachusetts.....		21,816	81.53	3,397,052	156	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:					
Rhode Island.....		2,822	80.15	388,424	138	Arkansas.....		68,787	97.38	4,932,905	72
Connecticut.....		15,202	84.66	2,620,318	172	Louisiana.....		28,084	88.50	2,661,317	95
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:						Oklahoma.....		70,815	96.84	7,319,625	103
New York.....		130,894	90.21	21,296,953	163	Texas.....		151,998	94.08	14,467,932	95
New Jersey.....		19,105	81.48	4,268,564	223	MOUNTAIN:					
Pennsylvania.....		132,580	95.03	16,453,151	124	Montana.....		8,663	69.40	1,903,143	220
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:						Idaho.....		12,850	81.81	2,124,235	165
Ohio.....		138,453	95.15	17,476,192	126	Wyoming.....		3,779	69.34	755,107	200
Indiana.....		98,938	95.19	12,593,708	127	Colorado.....		16,966	76.50	3,065,464	181
Illinois.....		128,039	91.49	23,465,375	183	New Mexico.....		12,024	91.61	1,165,443	97
Michigan.....		106,713	95.41	13,390,552	125	Arizona.....		2,459	74.52	398,181	162
Wisconsin.....		86,364	94.78	14,375,628	166	Utah.....		10,610	89.88	1,227,206	116
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:						Nevada.....		871	49.04	178,851	205
Minnesota.....		88,719	93.45	15,406,520	174	PACIFIC:					
Iowa.....		101,502	93.21	17,686,063	174	Washington.....		22,781	76.02	3,868,160	170
Missouri.....		115,243	95.46	12,610,963	109	Oregon.....		19,431	80.20	2,874,732	148
North Dakota.....		34,426	75.21	7,753,770	225	California.....		36,607	65.50	6,901,444	189
South Dakota.....		36,075	87.60	7,301,915	202						
Nebraska.....		58,173	92.83	9,692,404	167						
Kansas.....		85,090	92.30	12,861,881	151						

EXTENT OF WAGE-LABOR PLANTATION SYSTEM.

35

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1909.

Table 27

Table 27	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR, 1909.				DIVISION AND STATE.	FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR, 1909.			
	Farms reporting.		Amount expended.			Farms reporting.		Amount expended.	
	Number.	Per cent of all reporting.	Total.	Average per farm.		Number.	Percent of all reporting.	Total.	Average per farm.
UNITED STATES.....	2,922,279	45.9	\$651,611,287	\$223	SOUTH ATLANTIC:				
-GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:					Delaware.....	6,841	63.1	\$1,612,471	\$236
New England.....	124,619	66.0	34,500,407	277	Maryland.....	31,982	65.4	8,802,172	275
Middle Atlantic.....	308,050	65.8	78,021,579	253	District of Columbia.....	146	67.3	238,833	1,636
East North Central.....	592,364	52.7	117,880,195	199	Virginia.....	84,636	46.0	13,354,194	168
West North Central.....	506,347	51.0	135,924,231	240	West Virginia.....	43,871	45.4	4,035,764	92
South Atlantic.....	469,370	42.2	66,607,245	142	North Carolina.....	97,461	38.4	9,220,564	95
East South Central.....	329,583	31.6	35,308,883	107	South Carolina.....	69,401	39.4	10,770,758	165
West South Central.....	336,034	35.6	59,980,738	178	Georgia.....	113,782	39.1	13,218,113	116
Mountain.....	85,831	46.8	46,939,012	547	Florida.....	21,160	42.3	5,354,376	253
Pacific.....	110,081	58.0	76,448,994	694	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
NEW ENGLAND:					Kentucky.....	91,642	35.4	12,243,851	134
Maine.....	37,190	62.0	5,633,106	151	Tennessee.....	88,670	36.0	8,448,059	95
New Hampshire.....	17,385	64.3	3,374,126	194	Alabama.....	83,643	31.8	7,454,748	89
Vermont.....	21,810	66.7	4,748,003	218	Mississippi.....	65,628	23.9	7,162,225	109
Massachusetts.....	26,758	72.5	12,101,959	452	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
Rhode Island.....	3,521	66.5	1,761,594	500	Arkansas.....	70,638	32.9	7,654,571	108
Connecticut.....	17,955	67.0	6,881,619	383	Louisiana.....	31,732	26.3	16,704,125	526
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:					Oklahoma.....	73,126	38.4	9,837,541	135
New York.....	145,095	67.3	41,312,014	285	Texas.....	160,538	38.4	26,784,501	161
New Jersey.....	23,448	70.0	11,097,727	473	MOUNTAIN:				
Pennsylvania.....	130,507	63.6	25,611,838	184	Montana.....	12,482	47.6	10,930,477	876
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:					Idaho.....	15,715	51.0	6,701,604	426
Ohio.....	145,515	53.5	25,631,185	176	Wyoming.....	5,450	49.6	6,174,164	1,133
Indiana.....	103,947	48.2	17,682,079	170	Colorado.....	22,170	48.0	10,818,465	488
Illinois.....	130,941	55.6	36,308,376	259	New Mexico.....	13,124	36.8	3,845,423	278
Michigan.....	111,842	54.0	19,063,082	170	Arizona.....	3,300	35.8	2,504,984	759
Wisconsin.....	91,110	51.4	19,195,473	211	Utah.....	11,805	54.5	3,169,917	269
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:					Nevada.....	1,776	66.0	2,993,978	1,686
Minnesota.....	94,934	60.8	22,330,149	235	PACIFIC:				
Iowa.....	108,890	50.2	24,781,592	228	Washington.....	29,965	53.3	15,370,931	513
Missouri.....	120,714	43.5	18,644,695	154	Oregon.....	24,229	53.2	11,101,864	458
North Dakota.....	45,775	61.6	21,740,149	475	California.....	55,887	63.4	49,976,199	894
South Dakota.....	41,180	53.0	12,831,944	312					
Nebraska.....	62,605	48.3	15,028,468	240					
Kansas.....	92,189	51.8	20,567,237	223					

EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR FOR 1909 AND 1899, WITH AMOUNTS AND PERCENTAGES OF INCREASE.

Table 28

Table 28	EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR.				DIVISION AND STATE.	EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR.			
	Total amount expended.		Increase.			Total amount expended.		Increase.	
	1909	1899	Amount.	Per cent.		1909	1899	Amount.	Per cent.
UNITED STATES.....	\$651,611,287	\$357,391,930	\$294,219,357	82.3	SOUTH ATLANTIC:				
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:					Delaware.....	\$1,612,471	\$1,075,960	\$536,511	49.9
New England.....	34,500,407	20,727,980	13,772,427	66.4	Maryland.....	8,802,172	5,715,520	3,086,652	54.0
Middle Atlantic.....	78,021,579	50,469,890	27,551,689	54.6	District of Columbia.....	238,833	107,420	41,413	21.0
East North Central.....	117,880,195	67,556,520	50,323,675	74.5	Virginia.....	13,354,194	7,700,720	5,653,474	71.4
West North Central.....	135,924,234	75,764,460	60,159,774	79.4	West Virginia.....	4,035,764	2,041,560	1,994,204	97.7
South Atlantic.....	66,607,245	37,080,040	29,527,205	79.6	North Carolina.....	9,220,564	5,444,950	3,775,614	69.3
East South Central.....	35,308,883	19,575,416	15,733,467	80.4	South Carolina.....	10,770,758	6,107,100	4,663,658	76.4
West South Central.....	59,980,738	29,871,225	30,109,513	100.8	Georgia.....	13,218,113	7,244,520	5,973,593	82.5
Mountain.....	46,939,012	20,372,255	26,566,757	130.4	Florida.....	5,354,376	1,468,290	3,886,086	264.7
Pacific.....	76,448,994	35,968,144	40,480,850	112.5	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
NEW ENGLAND:					Kentucky.....	12,243,851	6,613,390	5,630,521	85.1
Maine.....	5,633,106	2,667,260	2,965,846	111.2	Tennessee.....	8,418,059	4,730,370	3,717,689	78.6
New Hampshire.....	3,374,126	2,304,520	1,069,606	46.4	Alabama.....	7,454,748	4,314,460	3,140,288	72.8
Vermont.....	4,748,003	3,133,140	1,614,863	51.5	Mississippi.....	7,162,225	3,917,256	3,244,969	82.8
Massachusetts.....	12,101,959	7,487,280	4,614,679	61.6	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:				
Rhode Island.....	1,761,594	1,032,360	729,234	70.6	Arkansas.....	7,654,571	3,171,090	4,483,481	141.4
Connecticut.....	6,881,619	4,103,420	2,778,199	67.7	Louisiana.....	16,704,125	10,692,710	6,011,415	56.2
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:					Oklahoma.....	9,837,541	3,675,520	6,162,021	167.7
New York.....	41,312,014	27,102,180	14,209,884	52.4	Texas.....	26,784,501	12,331,905	13,452,596	109.1
New Jersey.....	11,097,727	6,720,030	4,377,697	65.1	MOUNTAIN:				
Pennsylvania.....	25,611,838	16,647,730	8,964,108	53.8	Montana.....	10,930,477	5,077,340	5,853,137	115.3
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:					Idaho.....	6,701,604	2,250,450	4,451,154	197.8
Ohio.....	25,631,185	14,502,600	11,128,585	76.7	Wyoming.....	6,174,164	2,615,230	3,558,934	136.1
Indiana.....	17,682,079	9,685,540	7,996,539	82.6	Colorado.....	10,818,465	4,100,905	6,717,560	163.8
Illinois.....	36,308,376	22,182,550	14,125,826	63.7	New Mexico.....	3,845,423	1,951,110	1,694,313	86.8
Michigan.....	19,063,082	10,717,220	8,345,862	77.9	Arizona.....	2,504,984	1,162,670	1,342,314	117.3
Wisconsin.....	19,195,473	10,468,610	8,726,863	83.4	Utah.....	3,169,917	1,337,900	1,832,017	72.5
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:					Nevada.....	2,993,978	1,386,650	1,607,328	115.9
Minnesota.....	22,330,149	16,657,820	5,672,329	34.1	PACIFIC:				
Iowa.....	24,781,592	16,375,670	8,405,922	51.3	Washington.....	15,370,931	5,280,100	10,090,741	191.1
Missouri.....	18,644,695	9,803,610	8,841,085	90.2	Oregon.....	11,101,864	4,842,834	6,259,030	129.2
North Dakota.....	21,740,149	9,207,220	12,532,929	135.1	California.....	49,970,199	25,845,120	24,131,079	93.4
South Dakota.....	12,831,944	5,528,070	7,303,874	132.1					
Nebraska.....	15,028,468	7,399,160	7,629,308	108.1					
Kansas.....	20,567,237	10,792,910	9,774,327	80.6					

PLANTATION FARMING IN THE UNITED STATES.

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR IN ELEVEN SOUTHERN,

Table 29		FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES FOR LABOR.											
STATE.		Total number of farms.	Amount expended.										
			Number.	Per cent of all reporting.	1900	1899	Increase.		Average per farm reporting, 1909.	Average per acre.			
							Amount.	Per cent.		All land in farms.		Improved land in farms.	
										1909	1889	1909	1889
1	Eleven Southern states	2,491,509	887,379	35.6	\$125,126,234	\$67,213,371	\$57,912,863	86.2	\$141	\$0.44	\$0.22	\$1.15	\$0.71
2	Virginia.....	184,013	84,636	46.0	13,354,194	7,790,720	5,563,474	71.4	158	0.68	0.39	1.35	0.77
3	North Carolina.....	253,725	97,461	38.4	9,220,564	5,444,950	3,775,614	69.3	95	0.41	0.24	1.05	0.65
4	South Carolina.....	176,434	69,491	39.4	10,770,758	6,107,100	4,663,658	76.4	155	0.80	0.44	1.77	1.06
5	Georgia.....	291,027	113,782	39.1	13,218,113	7,244,520	5,973,593	82.5	116	0.49	0.27	1.07	0.68
6	Florida.....	50,016	21,160	42.3	5,354,376	1,468,290	3,886,086	264.7	253	1.02	0.34	2.97	0.97
7	Alabama.....	262,901	83,643	31.8	7,454,748	4,314,460	3,140,288	72.8	89	0.36	0.21	0.77	0.50
8	Mississippi.....	274,382	65,628	23.9	7,162,225	3,917,256	3,244,969	82.8	109	0.39	0.21	0.80	0.52
9	Louisiana.....	120,546	31,732	26.3	16,704,125	10,602,710	6,011,415	56.2	526	1.60	0.97	3.17	2.29
10	Texas.....	417,770	160,538	38.4	25,784,501	12,331,905	13,452,596	109.1	161	0.23	0.10	0.94	0.63
11	Arkansas.....	214,678	70,638	32.9	7,054,571	3,171,090	4,483,481	141.4	108	0.44	0.19	0.95	0.46
12	Tennessee.....	246,012	88,670	36.0	8,448,059	4,730,370	3,717,689	78.6	95	0.42	0.23	0.78	0.46

EXTENT OF WAGE-LABOR PLANTATION SYSTEM.

37

STATES, CLASSIFIED BY AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURES: 1909 AND 1899.

FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF \$500 AND OVER.												FARMS REPORTING EXPENDITURES OF LESS THAN \$500.				
Total.				\$1,000 and over.				\$500 and less than \$1,000.				Number.	Per cent of all farms reporting.	Amount expended.		
Number.	Per cent of all farms reporting	Amount expended.		Number.	Per cent of all farms reporting.	Amount expended.		Number.	Per cent of all farms reporting.	Amount expended.				Total.	Average per farm.	
		Total.	Average per farm.			Total.	Average per farm.			Total.	Average per farm.					
36,545	4.12	\$57,735,747	\$1,580	14,584	1.64	\$42,432,983	\$2,910	21,961	2.47	\$15,302,764	\$697	850,834	95.88	\$67,390,487	\$79	1
4,267	5.04	5,508,457	1,291	1,438	1.70	3,429,917	2,385	2,329	3.34	2,078,540	735	80,369	94.96	7,845,737	98	2
2,581	2.65	3,018,853	1,169	926	0.95	1,886,459	2,037	1,655	1.70	1,132,394	684	94,880	97.35	6,201,711	65	3
3,832	5.59	5,198,061	1,339	1,661	2.39	3,654,373	2,200	2,221	3.20	1,543,688	695	65,009	94.41	5,572,697	85	4
3,945	3.47	4,928,906	1,249	1,543	1.36	3,251,754	2,107	2,402	2.11	1,677,152	698	109,837	96.53	8,289,207	75	5
2,135	10.09	3,526,687	1,652	1,034	4.89	2,811,859	2,719	1,101	5.20	714,828	649	19,025	89.91	1,827,689	96	6
1,849	2.21	2,329,579	1,259	671	0.80	1,478,250	2,203	1,178	1.41	851,329	723	81,794	97.79	5,125,169	63	7
1,099	2.59	2,828,928	1,065	685	1.04	2,087,791	3,048	1,014	1.54	741,137	731	63,929	97.41	4,333,297	68	8
3,648	11.50	14,042,808	3,849	2,230	7.03	13,029,029	5,843	1,418	4.47	1,013,779	715	28,084	88.50	2,661,317	95	9
8,540	5.32	11,316,569	1,325	2,985	1.86	7,562,300	2,533	5,555	3.46	3,754,269	576	151,998	94.68	14,467,932	95	10
1,851	2.62	2,721,666	1,470	783	1.11	1,979,162	2,528	1,068	1.51	742,504	695	68,787	97.38	4,932,905	72	11
2,148	2.42	2,315,233	1,078	628	0.71	1,262,089	2,010	1,520	1.71	1,053,144	693	86,522	97.58	6,132,826	71	12